



LAWFULLY YOURS

THE PEOPLE'S EMPOWERMENT GUIDE TO OUR CORPORATE-COMMERCIAL LEGAL SYSTEM

CAUTION ABOUT ATTORNEYS!

Do not expect attorneys to support these facts or strategies. BAR attorneys created our corporate government and they are the ones that misrepresent it, profit from it, and keep it in place. Seeking their advice, counsel or approval is not recommended since, as this guide will demonstrate, they are a monumental part of the problem and an infinitesimal part of the solution.

Sixth Edition

April, 2015

Second Edition addition: sample letter for school superintendent

Third Edition addition: revised APPENDIX C

Fourth Edition addition: Child Protective Services letter & NOTICE and updated APPENDIX B

Fifth Edition addition: APPENDIX D defining contracts

Sixth Edition addition: Contract checklist for APPENDIX D; APPENDIX E defining affidavits

*This guide is a compilation of research by volunteers.
It was organized and formatted by the AntiCorruption Society.*

*AntiCorruption Society © copyround 2015
Permission is granted for redistribution if the content is unaltered
and AntiCorruptionSociety.com is acknowledged*

The following, listed alphabetically, contributed to this guide. (This is not to imply that they all have read and approved of the contents in their entirety.)

Barefoot's World.com
Ingri Cassel, Idaho
Judge Dale, retired
Robert Gilman, Michigan
Teri Hinkle, Georgia
Attorney Karen Hudes, Washington, DC
Paul Kahle, Ohio
Frank O'Collins, Australia
Attorney Melvin Stamper, author of *Fruit from a Poisonous Tree*
Former Representative James Traficant, Ohio
Jeanette Tripplett, AIB Radio

Foreword

The following contains empowering information for all Americans. Since the founding of our country, the 'elite' (and their Robber Baron partners) have fabricated our history, taken control of our economy and altered our form of government and legal system. The whole rather sordid tale is brilliantly exposed in Judge Dale's *The Great American Adventure*; free online at AntiCorruptionSociety.com

This guide contains material authored by Judge Dale, retired, and strategies compiled by others familiar with the commercial (Admiralty) nature of our courts. In it, Judge Dale exposes our legal system - that we were never taught about - and reveals ways we can defend ourselves from our current parasitic corporate-government and injustice system. From Judge Dale, retired:

"The Federal and State Governments are not real. They are privately owned corporations [listed on Dun and Bradstreet] called governments . . . and the law is nothing more than their corporate regulations called statutes."

According to Judge Dale and many others, BAR attorneys have been indoctrinated into believing that we have a lawful system of justice, which we do not. Their job today is to prevent the American people from understanding our reality and to keep us all locked into the legal system BAR attorneys created and were trained to implement. Our current 'legal system' is a fraud that works to their benefit and to our detriment. This truth was confirmed by Karen Hudes, former World Bank Attorney, during an interview. See: *Former World Bank Attorney exposes the bankers and the BAR* on AntiCorruptionSociety.com. Ms Hudes correctly stated that:

"I don't want to believe that all of these lawyers and the American Bar Association are pulling a fast one on everybody like this, but I have no choice - that's the way it is. If that's the way it is, I'd rather admit that's the way it is than sit there being a dupe."

". . . the ABA [American Bar Association] has lost all total credibility and they should apologize to the American people for what it is they have been doing. And they should disband."

The American BAR Association is an offshoot from London Lawyer's Guild and was established by people with invasive monopolistic goals in mind. In 1909 they incorporated this traitorous group in the state of Illinois and had the State Legislature (which was under the control of lawyers) pass an unconstitutional law that only members of this powerful union of lawyers, called the American BAR Association, could practice law and hold all the key positions in law enforcement and the making of laws. [i] The American people never authorized this group to recreate our legal system or to take over our courthouses. This foreign organization is wholly responsible for replacing Constitutional law with the Uniform Commercial Code. [ii]

Both our government and our courts are playing Chess, while telling the people the game is Checkers. If We The People wish to restore our unalienable birth rights, we need to learn to play Chess. This guide is one of many that have been put together to help the American people learn how not to consent to their own fleecing and/or enslavement.

AL Whitney, Editor
AntiCorruptionSociety.com

Disclaimer: Images, footnotes and endnotes have been added to Judge Dale's original work. They were not authorized by him, but were provided as adjuncts by the AntiCorruptionSociety.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1 - Introduction	5
Supreme Court Decisions that Expose the Scam	6
Overview	7
SECTION 2 - The Legal Process	8
THE COURTS	8
CRIMINAL LAW	9
CITATIONS	12
SUMMONS AND LAWSUITS	12
DIVORCE	13
FORECLOSURE	13
SECTION 3 - How to Defeat Admiralty Courts and "The Law of the Sea"	16
SECTION 4 - Lawful Strategies	21
Strategy a - Clarify	22
Strategy b - Inquire	22
Strategy c - Give Notice	23
SECTION 5 - Sample Questionnaires, Letters, & Notices	25
Sample I: Letter of Inquiry	25
Sample II: Government-Corporation employee questionnaire	26
Sample III: Letter of Debt Validation	28
Vaccination Notice of Non-consent for Physicians and Schools	29
Sample IV: VACCINATION NOTICE	30
Sample Letter for School Superintendent	31
Vaccination Notice of Non-consent for Employers and Colleges	32
Sample V (a): EMPLOYEE/STUDENT VACCINATION NOTICE (a)	33
Sample V (b): EMPLOYEE/STUDENT VACCINATION NOTICE (b)	34
Smart Meter Notice of Non-consent	35
Sample Smart Meter Letter of non-consent	36
Sample VI: SMART METER NOTICE	37
Pocket Card Notices of Non-consent	38
Sample VII Pocket Card NOTICE of Non-consent	39
Forced vaccinations or quarantine	40
Sample VIII: Pocket Card NOTICE of Non-consent Re: Forced Vaccinations and Quarantine	41
Child Protective Services Notice of Non-consent	42
Sample Letter to CPS	43
Sample IX: NOTICE TO COUNTY CHILD SERVICES	44
List of available templates	45
References - Endnotes	45
APPENDIX A - Clearfield Trust vs. UNITED STATES	47
APPENDIX B - Bond vs. UNITED STATES	49
APPENDIX C - Twelve Presumptions of the Court	53
APPENDIX D - What is a Contract	55
APPENDIX E - Properties of an Affidavit	59

SECTION 1

INTRODUCTION

While most of us recognize that lobbyists for major corporations seem to control Washington, few people know that Washington, D.C. is a corporation itself. The so-called 'federal government' is the Mother Corporation of a vast network of state and local governments and governmental 'agencies' that is actually a CORPORATE franchise system. All of these so-called government entities are for profit institutions and are listed on Dun and Bradstreet in their corporate all caps names.

After the Civil War, Congress passed the Reconstruction Act bringing all of the states under the authority of the federal government. Then the Act of 1871 formed a corporation called THE UNITED STATES. The new corporation, owned by foreign interests, moved in and shoved the original Constitution into a dustbin. With the Act of 1871, the organic Constitution was defaced — in effect vandalized and sabotaged — the title was capitalized and the word "for" was changed to "of". The Constitution for the united States became the CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES.

Then, during FDR's administration in the 30's, maritime/Admiralty (statutory) law was introduced into our courts.

The Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) was originally approved by its sponsors and the American Bar Association in 1952, and was revised in 1958 to incorporate a number of changes that had been recommended by the New York Law Revision Commission and other agencies. Subsequent amendments that were deemed desirable in light of experience under the Code were approved by the Permanent Editorial Board in 1962 and 1966.

By the middle 1960's, every state had passed the UCC into law. The states had no choice but to adopt newly formed **Uniform Commercial Code as the Law of the Land**. Washington D.C. adopted the Uniform Commercial Code in 1963, just six weeks after President John F. Kennedy was killed.

Today all courts (except the Supreme Court) are statutory maritime administrative courts. This change in our legal system was not authorized by the American people. It was created - by stealth - by the bankers and the BAR.

[For more details go to [AntiCorruption Society.com](http://AntiCorruptionSociety.com) home page: "Our government is just another corporation".]

The more people who understand what our courts have become, the fewer will hire attorneys. Attorneys are actually trained to implement this parasitic corporate-government system upon the unsuspecting public. That is where their 'expertise' lies, as attorneys Judge Dale [iii], Melvin Stamper [iv], and Karen Hudes [v] have revealed.

While many good people are working to recover a truly representative form of government (Of the people, By the people and For the people), the current corporate-government continues to attack the American population. As automation and robotization move rapidly forward, our current government corporation is implementing many programs designed to reduce the population. They have launched population control and population reduction agendas on many fronts. Here are a few examples:

- Chemtrails
- Mandatory vaccines
- Control of access to medical care and limitations to access to natural remedies
- Public water contaminated with toxic waste from the fertilizer industry aka water fluoridation
- Monopolization and degradation of food (to include GMOs)
- Endless unjustified wars
- Energy restriction under the false pretense of CO2 global warming - to include unsafe smart meters
- Wireless communication devices that emit toxic electro-magnetic radiation
- Takeover of our public school system throughout the country via Common Core

It is important that we understand our legal system and familiarize ourselves with strategies that have been formulated to restore some accountability while allowing us an alternative to the current *comply or die* (adhesion contracts) corporate system of unlawful statutory 'rule'. Statutes passed today are not laws; they are rules and/or regulations created by the corporations that falsely call themselves our 'government'. Again, each and every division of our so-called government is listed as a private corporation on Dun and Bradstreet. [vi] Commonly statutes/rules/regulations/ordinances are inflicted upon us by our consent or willingness to contract. So, learning how to deny consent is critical. In our statutory 'legal system', consent is presumed unless denied. (APPENDIX C)

SUPREME COURT DECISIONS THAT EXPOSE THE SCAM

Clearfield Trust Co. vs. UNITED STATES 318 US 363 (1942) [APPENDIX A]

In 1942 the Supreme Court made a decision that exposed the corporate government. It resulted in what is now called the Clearfield Doctrine.

Summary of the Clearfield Doctrine

As all of our government "entities" (listed on Dun and Bradstreet) are doing business using private commercial paper (the FEDERAL RESERVE NOTE), they have no more rights or privileges than any other corporation.

Because of the private currency we are all forced to use called the FEDERAL RESERVE NOTE, our so-called government has lost its sovereignty and has become no different than a mere private corporation. As such, government then becomes bound by the rules and laws that govern private corporations. Therefore, if they intend to compel an individual to some specific performance based upon their corporate statutes or rules, then they, like any private corporation, must be the holder-in-due-course of a contract or other commercial agreement between it and the one upon who demands for specific performance is made.

And further, the government must be willing to enter the contract or commercial agreement into evidence before trying to get the court to enforce its demands, called statutes. Without the contract, enforcement cannot take place lawfully . . . unless you consent.

Bond vs. UNITED STATES 529 US 334 (2000) [APPENDIX B]

In 2000 the Supreme Court held that the American people are in fact sovereign and not the STATES or the government. The court went on to define that local state and federal law enforcement officers were committing unlawful action against the sovereign people by the enforcement of the laws and are personally liable for their actions.

The state and federal government is a corporation and therefore the Congress, state legislatures, city councils, municipalities and all state and federal courts are corporate entities posing as Constitutional branches of government. All laws created by these government corporations are private corporate regulations called public law, statutes, codes and ordinances to conceal their true nature. Since these government bodies are not sovereign, they cannot promulgate or enforce criminal laws. Corporate 'governments' can only create and enforce civil laws, which are duty bound to comply with the Law of Contracts.

The Law of Contracts requires signed written agreements and complete transparency. [See APPENDIX D]

Enforcement of corporate statutes, rules, and regulations by law enforcement officers - without full disclosure and written consent - are unlawful and these officers can be held personally liable for their actions.

Although Summary, Misdemeanor, Felony and Capital offenses are referred to as criminal laws, they are merely civil laws - disguised as criminal laws. See Section 2, *The Legal Process* by Judge Dale, retired

OVERVIEW

WHAT IS OUR GOVERNMENT . . . REALLY?

A CONSTITUTIONAL
REPUBLIC



Sovereign Constitutional Republic
Constitutional and Common Law
Legislated Laws
Courts of Justice
National Currency
Public Lands
Public Servants

or a CORPORATE COMMERCIAL
ENTERPRISE



USA INC [1]
Permanent State of Emergency [2]
Exec Orders (Fed Registry) - Statutes [3]
Administrative Courts - CRIS Accounts [4]
Private (commercial) Currency [5]
Public (cestui que vie) Trust [6]
Public 'Officials' [7]

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS FROM ANTICORRUPTION SOCIETY.COM

[1] [Our Government is Just Another Corporation](#)

SOURCE DOCUMENTS: [Bond vs. UNITED STATES](#)

SOURCE DOCUMENTS: [Articles of Incorporation - UNITED STATES CORPORATION COMPANY](#)

[2] SOURCE DOCUMENTS: [Senate Report 93-549](#)

[3] [Who is Running America](#)

[4] [Our Courts Have Nothing to do with Justice](#)

[5] SOURCE DOCUMENTS: [The Clearfield Doctrine](#)

[6] SOURCE DOCUMENTS: [Congressional Record \(March 1993\)](#)

[7] [The Great American Adventure by Judge Dale](#)

SECTION 2

THE LEGAL PROCESS

Excerpted from *The Great American Adventure - Secrets of America, Part 5*
by Judge Dale, retired

[Available as a free download from the homepage of AntiCorruptionSociety.com]

I didn't plan on writing a PART 5 but given the global movement in play to collapse the fiat financial dominance historically created and controlled by the Vatican; European Royal and Elite plus the retaliatory efforts by the United States Corporation to recoup their control of America; I felt a need to point out the flaws in their CORPORATE PROCESS.

You probably identify with this CORPORATE PROCESS as LEGAL PROCESS but it really isn't about what is legal or lawful because all process is about the enforcement of CONTRACTS or the imposition and enforcement of CORPORATE REGULATIONS called STATUTES. The best advice you will ever receive is to: AVOID THEIR COURTS WHENEVER POSSIBLE. There is NO justice to be found in those Courts unless you are a member of the Vatican; the Royal or Elite, or have purchased Diplomatic Immunity!

THE COURTS

The only Constitutional Court in America is the International Court of Trades, which was created because no Foreign Nation Government would Trade with the Corporate United States, until they provided a way for these Foreign Nations to enforce their Trade Agreements with America.

NOTE: Historically, the World Court was created to provide Nations with a venue to enforce their Trade Agreements but the Corporate United States refused the Courts invitation to participate because they were denied control over the Court.

All of the other American Courts are pseudo courts or fictions and simply are Corporate Administrative Offices designed to resemble Courts and all of their Judges are simply Executive Administrators designed to resemble Judges.

The purpose of these pseudo Corporate Courts are only to settle contract disputes and since George Washington's government was military in structure. If either party refuses to participate, these Courts cannot become involved and the dispute is dead in the water! My use of the term "dead in the water" is not a canard because these pseudo Courts are unconstitutional Courts of Admiralty, the International Law of the Sea!




The Washington Monument

The Washington Monument was completed in 1884, as a tribute to George Washington and his military government, which is actually a sea-level obelisk that infers that all of America is "under water" and thus subject

to the Laws of Admiralty as opposed or contrary to the intended Constitutional Civilian Government under Common Law.

The pseudo Judges of these pseudo Courts have NO powers without the consent of both the Plaintiff and the Defendant. AND, in every case the Judge must determine that he has Consent (Personam and Subject Matter Jurisdiction) before he can act or access the Cesta Que Trust.

NOTE: All tradable Securities must be assigned a CUSIP NUMBER before it can be offered to investors. Birth Certificates and Social Security Applications are converted into Government Securities; assigned a CUSIP NUMBER; grouped into lots and then are marketed as a Mutual Fund Investment. Upon maturity, the profits are moved into a GOVERNMENT CESTA QUE TRUST and if you are still alive, the certified documents are reinvested. It is the funds contained in this CESTA QUE TRUST that the Judge, Clerk and County Prosecutor are really after or interested in! This Trust actually pays all of your debts but nobody tells you that because the Elite consider those assets to be their property and the Federal Reserve System is responsible for the management of those Investments.



[Court Registry Investment System is the system that connects the courts to the Federal Reserve]

Social Security; SSI; SSD; Medicare and Medicaid are all financed by the Trust. The government makes you pay TAXES and a portion of your wages supposedly to pay for these services, which they can borrow at any time for any reason since they cannot access the CESTA QUE TRUST to finance their Wars or to bail out Wall Street and their patron Corporations.

The public is encouraged to purchase all kinds of insurance protection when the TRUST actually pays for all physical damages, medical costs, new technology and death benefits. The hype to purchase insurance is a ploy to keep us in poverty and profit off our stupidity because the Vatican owns the controlling interest in all Insurance Companies.

You may receive a monthly statement from a Mortgage Company, Loan Company or Utility Company, which usually has already been paid by the TRUST. Almost all of these corporate businesses double dip and hope that you have been conditioned well enough by their Credit Scams, to pay them a second time. Instead of paying that Statement next time, sign it approved and mail it back to them. If they then contact you about payment, ask them to send you a TRUE BILL instead of a Statement and you will be glad to pay it. A Statement documents what is due and paid, whereas a TRUE BILL represents only what is due. Banks and Utility Companies have direct access into these Cesta Que Trusts and all they needed was your name, social security number and signature.

CRIMINAL LAW

There are NO Criminal Laws in America because Criminal Laws would imply that the Corporate United States Governments are Sovereign and have absolute power over all living, flesh and blood Americans, which of course

is not true because a corporation is a fiction and therefore cannot be Sovereign. Man is Sovereign and is in control of his own destiny and one day he will finally wake up and realize this to be true!

There is however Criminal Contracts being enforced against us and with our Consent, which are surreptitiously called: Criminal Statutes. Our Consent has been obtained by them visa vie our silence and failure to act or protest, which under law is defined as: Tacit Procuration.

(e.g.) Tacit Procuration: If someone accuses you of theft in writing and you fail to respond or deny those allegations in writing, your failure to deny or act is considered an admission of guilt! (or) You receive a Bill for goods or services that you never ordered or received, and you fail to deny those allegations, your omission represents the truth of the matter, which imposes an obligation to pay! Collection companies frequently use Tacit Procuration to establish indebtedness to them on a discharged debt they had purchased from some corporate business.

“Now you’re probably thinking: *No Criminal Laws? Well, that can’t be true? A whole lot of people have been tried; convicted and are doing time in American jails for breaking Criminal Laws!*”

And my response to that is: True, they are in Jail because they unknowingly accepted the Criminal Contract on behalf of their Birth Certificate and consented to be imprisoned as a condition of their conviction and punishment. Their lawyer didn’t help any because he reinforced that situation by and through his Notice of Appearance to represent you. It is the Birth Certificate that is under arrest, which I will explain shortly!

NOTE: Criminal Contracts are graded according to the severity of the crime alleged and that grading is identified as either: Summary; Misdemeanor; Felony or Capital offenses.

The Criminal Process usually begins with a Police Officer issuing a Citation [or] making an arrest with or without a Warrant [or] the Police Officer [or] County Attorney prepares a complaint based upon a sworn affidavit or on information, which is presented to a Judge and a Warrant is then issued. The defendant is subsequently arrested and is brought before a Judge for arraignment.



Note: Birth Registration is issued by the Dept of Commerce

The Complaint and Warrant will reflect your BIRTH NAME or identify you as a JOHN DOE, if your name is unknown, which is typed out in all capital letters! This is not a mistake on their part because it is your Birth Certificate that is under arrest and not your living, flesh and blood person. The hope of these pseudo Courts is that the flesh and blood person will be intimidated enough to accept responsibility for the Birth Certificate! Sounds crazy but nothing is what it seems: “It’s all Smoke and Mirrors.”

Most Police Officers do not know or have these details and believe in what they are doing and believe the lawyers who counsel them in law like they are Gods! Big mistake on their part because just like everyone else, they too have been vigorously lied to! You can’t trust lawyers to be inherently honest! Police Officers are instructed to always print or type the Defendants Name in capital letters but they are never told the reason why! As a precaution, you should always carry a copy of your Birth Certificate with you as part of your identification papers, which I will explain in the next paragraph.

At your Arraignment or Trial, the Judge will ask you if you are the named individual ALL CAPS BIRTH NAME on the complaint and your natural response will be to answer in the affirmative but that is exactly what you don’t want to do!

Remove your Birth Certificate and respond to him by stating: I am making a Special Limited Appearance on behalf of the defendant who is right here and hold up your Birth Certificate!

Then state the following: As I understand this process Judge; the County Attorney or Police Officer has leveled a criminal charge with the Clerk and against the TRUST, using the ALL CAPS NAME that appears on this BIRTH CERTIFICATE! The use of capital letters is dictated by the US Printing Style Manuel, which explains how to identify a CORPORATION.

The Clerk, who is the ADMINISTRATOR of the CESTA QUE TRUST, then, appointed you Judge as the TRUSTEE for the TRUST and since neither of you can be the BENEFICIARY, that leaves me and therefore you are MY TRUSTEE!

So as MY TRUSTEE, I instruct you to discharge this entire matter, with prejudice and award the penalties for these crimes to be paid to me in compensation and damages for my false arrest!

NOTE: The Law of Trusts dictates that an Administrator; Trustee and Beneficiary cannot serve two positions in a Trust. So a Trustee cannot be a Beneficiary too!

The TRUSTEE Judge has no alternative but to honor your demands but you have to get this right and act with confidence! You really need to know this information well, so that you can't be hoodwinked or confused by either of them! They will or may attempt to play some mind games with you if you display any doubt; stammer or display a lack confidence! Appearances [the pomp and majesty] of these pseudo Courts, is totally for your benefit and is intended to invoke fear and intimidation! If you show fear or intimidation, you get a pony ride!

NOTE: I've seen and heard of Judges and Prosecutors interfering with a defendant's response, which made the defendant, become confused and he was subsequently committed into a mental hospital for a psychiatric evaluation. The Judge and Prosecutor successfully twisted what the defendant was trying to say and then the Judge Ordered a mental evaluation.

Understand that the County Attorney will be forced to pay the Cost of Court out of his own pocket, if the case is discharged, so he isn't going to give up that easily; and the Judge, Clerk and County Attorney stand to make a pretty penny off of your conviction and incarceration! So don't screw it up...

If the County Attorney begins to act too cocky with you, you can take the wind out of his sails by asking him to produce the 1040 for this case? If he denies the need to do such a thing, inform him that you will be taking care of that for him ASAP [as soon as possible]! He may move for a discharge at that point because you are a little too dangerous or smart! The last thing that Prosecutor wants is the IRS examining his files for the last seven years because he makes money on every conviction but he doesn't pay TAXES on them as a Rule! He usually only declares the salary he receives.

Also: Should you accidentally find yourself in a mental hospital; the Psychiatrist who is assigned or appointed to evaluate you is just as corrupt as the Judge; Clerk and County Attorney and he will falsify all of your responses to him, just so that you are recommitted back into the mental facility with a review in six months! So lie to him and deny that you ever made such remarks! Of course, if you accept the criminal charges against your Birth Certificate, then you will instantly be deemed SANE!

Sorry that I had to be the one to tell you this but this is how corrupt many of my fellow Judges truly are and it should explain why my conscience caused me to retire early! Before I learned what was really going on, I believed that my duties and performance were entirely Constitutional. I was lied too also!

CITATIONS

The CITATION process can be handled much easier; through the mail. When a Police Officer issues you a

CITATION, he is actually requesting you to CONTRACT with him! He is alleging that you violated a corporate regulation in writing, which you have accepted by signing and thus requires you to respond.

The Police Officer is instructed to explain that your signature is merely an acknowledgment that you received a copy of the CITATION but in actuality, your signature is notification to the Court and Judge that you have accepted or CONSENTED to this offer to CONTRACT, which also grants the Judge CONSENT; PERSONAM and SUBJECT MATTER jurisdiction over you and the case!

You can cancel that CONTRACT however by rescinding your CONSENT. The Federal Truth in Lending Act provides that any party to a CONTRACT may rescind his CONSENT, within three business days of entering into such a CONTRACT. So across the face of the CITATION you should print or type in large print, the following words:

I DO NOT ACCEPT THIS OFFER TO CONTRACT
and
I DO NOT CONSENT TO THESE PROCEEDINGS.

Use **blue ink** [for admiralty] or **purple ink** [for royalty]. Admiralty is the Court and Royalty represents your Sovereignty. Either way is appropriate. Sign your signature underneath in blue or purple ink and in front of a Notary and under your signature type: Without prejudice, UCC 1-308. This is another way to declare that you may not be held responsible for this Contract pursuant to the Uniform Commercial Code.

Serve Cancelled Citation back it on the Clerk / Court, along with a Certificate of Service, by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested. This kills the CITATION; removes your CONSENT and removes the JURISDICTION of the Court, all at the same time. It really is that simple!

NOTE: A Certificate of Service is a letter that first identifies the Citation and then defines how and when you returned the document to the Court and is signed. If not denied, it becomes a truth in commerce by Tacit Procuration.

Remember to keep a copy of everything, in case the Clerk attempts to trash your response, which certainly will not happen with a Certificate of Service or if it is mailed back by the Notary. The Notary is actually a Deputy Secretary of State and is more powerful than the Court Clerk!

Public Notaries originate from the time of the Egyptian and Roman Scribes who were the purveyors of certified documents, which are sworn affidavits. Certified documents and sworn affidavits [See APPENDIX E] are truth in commerce. Birth Certificates are certified documents on bonded paper. The word bonded is derived from bondage as in slavery, which makes all of us Bond Slaves to whoever retains custody of our original Birth Certificates. I bet you believed that the Emancipation Proclamation freed the slaves and it did for a short time and then the Birth Certificate and the 14th Amendment enslaved us all!

SUMMONS and LAWSUITS

The SUMMONS process, whether it is defined a Civil or Criminal Action, is once again an offer to CONTRACT, despite what words are used to command your appearance or response. It too can be cancelled just by following the same procedure as the CITATION process above. A million dollar lawsuit is no different than a CITATION and both can be cancelled! Hard to believe, isn't it?

Does your lawyer know about this? You bet he does but he is not permitted to embarrass the Court and besides, Court is where he makes his money!

NOTE: How many of you have ever attempted to avoid Jury Duty? All you had to do was cancel the SUMMONS [OFFER to CONTRACT]; Notarize it and mail it back to the Jury Commissioner. Don't worry, they won't bother you because you are obviously too smart and may influence their Jury! The Jury [controls] the Court and not the

Prosecutor and Judge and if you know that, they lose and the defendant wins, which is why they prefer only the dumbed down candidates to serve on a Jury.

There are a few matters or issues that are next to impossible to circumvent or quash because of the depth of corruption within these pseudo Courts, such as child custody and the division of property resulting from a divorce. The Birth State claims the custody of your children pursuant to the Birth Certificate and records them under the Department of Transportation as a State owned Vessel!

A marriage is a CONTRACT and all that is required is a PRE-NUPIAL AGREEMENT to complete the marriage but if you are sufficiently indoctrinated to believe that a Judge or Mayor or a Minister or Priest, must join you in holy matrimony and you subsequently applied for a LICENSE; now you both have married the STATE as well! Now the State is entitled to its fair share of the division of your marital property should the marriage not work out or should you die [called probate]! Some people might say that a divorce should be included on this list of impossible issues but then they don't know what I know!

DIVORCE

An Action in Divorce is a request to break the LICENSED MARRIAGE CONTRACT. If you desire a divorce and your spouse refuses to consent to a divorce, no State Judge will grant you a Divorce Decree because the Judge has not been granted the CONSENT of both parties! There is a way around this however, which your lawyer will never admit to **because** he cannot make any money from giving you truthful or sound advice!

NOTE: Puerto Rico is a United States Territory acquired from Spain and it still operates under Spanish Law. This was never changed by the Corporate United States when Puerto Rico became a US Territory, so first you need to fly to Puerto Rico. [1]

Once in Puerto Rico, you can establish residency by simply opening a Post Office Box for a period of three days. Just after opening the Post Office Box, hire a local Paralegal to prepare an Action in Divorce for you. The Paralegal will file the divorce petition immediately, which is generally a certified form document and it will be heard by a Puerto Rican Judge within three days.

Under Spanish law, your spouse is not required to be served the divorce petition, only the divorce decree. Five days after the Decree, your former spouse will receive the divorce decree in the mail, written entirely in Spanish, which cannot be contested and must be honored by all US Federal and State Courts!

NOTE: Immediately after the Puerto Rican Judge declares you divorced, if you choose, you can marry again by Contract or by License. Both are legitimate, but no one will ever tell you that!

The division of marital property and custody of children is a much more complicated issue but at least the divorce cannot be utilized as leverage against you to divide up your property, less than proportionately, which is exactly why American Judges will not bifurcate the issues involved in a divorce: [e.g] divorce; division of property; custody; support and alimony. The hope is that your desire to obtain a divorce is worth more to you than anything else you own, now or in the future!

FORECLOSURE

If you are involved in a FORECLOSURE or you are thinking about filing for BANKRUPTCY protection to buy you more time, instead of trying to defeat the corrupt Bank and your Creditors in a State or Federal Court, where the cards are certainly stacked against you, plan to file for BANKRUPTCY and do it this way, to insure that you come out on top!

[1 Please note, that Puerto Rico is also the HQ for the Internal Revenue Service, which was chartered as a private corporation in 1933.]

All BANKRUPTCY FORMS are printable; can be obtained on line and they can be completed in longhand with an ink pen. The Forms to use are: B-1 through and including B-8. You only need to prepare and file the first five or six pages to obtain a Case Number and then you must sit through a Credit Counseling session, which can be done all in a day. When you are completely finished with preparing your petition, you should have filed about 58 pages in total and the filing fee is around \$280.00.

Here's the reason for using the Bankruptcy Courts:

List all your debts on one schedule and when it comes to listing your assets include your BIRTH CERTIFICATE and its CUSIP NO. The value of the Mutual Fund Investment for your Birth Certificate can also be found on line using the Cusip Number under Fidelity Investments. You will discover that it is worth multi-millions but you must have the CUSIP NO. on your asset schedule or the Birth Certificate will be discharged as frivolous by the JUDGE or the TRUSTEE.

The Bankruptcy Judge will then appoint a LAWYER TRUSTEE to dissolve the Mutual Fund Investment; pay off your debts and the balance must be paid to you! This procedure usually attracts the attention of the Department of Justice (DOJ) because they don't want the LAWYER TRUSTEE to screw up and short change the Vatican, the Federal Reserve and the Corporate United States and so they tend to warn or threaten the LAWYER TRUSTEE to be very careful!

Most of these Mutual Fund Investments usually involve a group of between 10 to 25 Birth Certificates and so only a fraction of that Mutual Fund belongs to you! The Bankruptcy Judge will not certify the final disposition until the LAWYER TRUSTEE can prove his math and every aspect of his work because the Judge inherits responsibility for the Trustee's errors, if he made any!

After the first LAWYER TRUSTEE resigns, you can probably cut a deal with the DOJ or you can proceed on with the same Bankruptcy proceeding and the newly appointed LAWYER TRUSTEE! Now isn't that easier and better than attacking or defending yourself against the Bank and a bunch of greedy Creditors, knowing full well that the cards are stacked against you because of the Vatican and the Federal Reserve System?

While you are in Bankruptcy, you are protected. No one can proceed against you for any debts or foreclosure, as long as you have a bond or sufficient assets; the Birth Certificate guarantees that aspect and while in Bankruptcy, you won't have to pay on any of those past debts!

Your debts will eventually be discharged and the balance of the Trust Fund is to go into your pocket! It's a WIN, WIN situation any way you shake it and the Vatican, Government and Bank loose the Trust Fund assets they planned to steal from you all along!

NOTE: There is a process to follow to determine your CUSIP NO [or] you can ask a Stock Broker friend to help you [or] hire a Broker on the side to assist you. There are people in the Patriot movement who also know how to apply the formula, which converts your Birth Registration Number and or Social Security Number into a Cusip Number. I paid to have mine done and discovered that I am worth about 167 million. It's all FIAT money but as long as it can be spent, who cares?

I hope that this entire expose' has enlightened and elevated your personal knowledge and will benefit you now and in the future. Pax vobiscum (Peace be with you).

End

Confirmation of Judge Dale's research is available from many sources, which include:

- Youtube: *USA INC - Exposing the Thieves Who Stole our Government*
- Barefoot's World: *Who is Running America*; <http://www.barefootsworld.net/usfraud.html>
- Rep Traficant's (D-OH) speech before Congress on the US Bankruptcy; March 17, 1993. While the official congressional record has been altered to conceal the Bankruptcy of '33 from the public, the original version is available online (<http://www.afn.org/~govern/bankruptcy.html>) and is also in Traficant's book, *America's Last Minuteman*.
- One-Heaven.org: *Canons of Positive Law: Cestui Que Vie Trust*; http://one-heaven.org/canons/positive_law/article/100.html
- *Our Government is Just Another Corporation*; <http://anticorruptionsociety.com/is-our-government-just-another-corporation/>
- *Silent Weapons for Quiet Wars*; <http://anticorruptionsociety.files.wordpress.com/2013/06/silent-weapons-for-quiet-wars.pdf>
- *Former World Bank attorney exposes the bankers and the BAR* <http://anticorruptionsociety.com/2014/06/02/former-world-bank-attorney-exposes-the-banksters-and-the-bar/>
- *Fruit from a Poisonous Tree* by Melvin Stamper, JD; available at Amazon

SECTION 3

How to Defeat Admiralty Courts and “The Law of the See”

By Judge Dale, retired
May 13, 2013

NOTE from editor:

While Judge Dale, retired, offers the following as a way for people to "exit the system", not all will choose to take this somewhat complex path. Those who cannot earn a living without a license, such as physicians, beauticians, and truck drivers, can elect to challenge the corporate regulatory system issue by issue, as they arise. Methods for doing exactly that will be explored in Section 4. Whether one chooses to "exit the system" or not, the following information is still invaluable, as the Judge explains further how our legal system was/is set up.

The Catholic word “See” conceals the influence of the Holy Roman Church over the corrupt corporate government and legal system.

The term “see” comes from the Latin word “sedes”, meaning “seat”, which refers to the Episcopal throne (cathedral). <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cathedral>

The term “Apostolic See” can refer to any see founded by one of the Apostles, but, when used with the definite article, it is used in the Catholic Church to refer specifically to the see of the Bishop of Rome, whom that Church sees as successor of Saint Peter, the Prince of the Apostles. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Holy_See

Sedes Sacrorum (Latin Sedes for seat/see, Sacrorum for holy) otherwise known as Santa Sede and the “SS” also known in English as “Holy See” refers to the legal apparatus as a whole by which the Roman Catholic Pope and its Curia of Bishops claim historical recognition as a sovereign entity with superior legal rights. (http://one-evil.org/content/entities_organizations_holy_see.html)

The Catholic Church uses two legal personalities with which to conduct its international affairs: the first is as an International state known as the Vatican City State, to which the Pope is the Head of Government. The second is as the supreme legal personality above all other legal personalities by which all property and “creatures” are subjects.

The legal enforceability of its first personality as an International State is constrained by international law. The sovereign status of the Vatican City remains dependent upon the continued recognition of an agreement known as the “Lateran Treaty” http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lateran_Treaty signed between Catholic Fascist Dictator, Benito Mussolini, in 1929 and his political supporter Pope Pius XI. This recognition remains in defiance and contempt to existing international laws prohibiting recognition of rogue states and laws created by mass murdering dictators.

The legal enforceability of the second personality of the Catholic Church as the Holy See is dependent upon the continued adherence to legal statutes, definitions, conventions and covenants as have been accumulated since the Middle Ages concerning the primacy of the Pope over all property and creatures. These statutes, conventions and covenants remain the fabric and foundation of the modern legal system of most states in the world.

To extend its legal strength using its second personality, the Catholic Church considers the region controlled by every bishop a See.

Admiralty Law

Admiralty law [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Admiralty_law] was introduced into England by the French Queen Eleanor of Aquitaine while she was acting as regent for her son, King Richard the Lionheart. She had earlier established admiralty law on the island of Oleron (where it was published as the Rolls of Oleron) in her own lands (although she is often referred to in admiralty law books as “Eleanor of Guyenne”), having learned about it in the eastern Mediterranean while on a Crusade with her first husband, King Louis VII of France. In England, special admiralty courts handle all admiralty cases. These courts do not use the common law of England, but are civil law courts largely based upon the Corpus Juris Civilis of Justinian.

Admiralty courts were a prominent feature in the prelude to the American Revolution. For example, the phrase in the Declaration of Independence “For depriving us in many cases, of the benefits of Trial by Jury” refers to the practice of Parliament giving the Admiralty Courts jurisdiction to enforce The Stamp Act in the American Colonies. Because the Stamp Act was unpopular, a colonial jury was unlikely to convict a colonist of its violation. However, because admiralty courts did not (as is true today) grant trial by jury, a colonist accused of violating the Stamp Act could be more easily convicted by the Crown.

Admiralty law became part of the law of the United States as it was gradually introduced through admiralty cases arising after the adoption of the U.S. Constitution in 1789. Many American lawyers who were prominent in the American Revolution were admiralty and maritime lawyers in their private lives. Those included are Alexander Hamilton in New York and John Adams in Massachusetts.

In 1787 John Adams, who was then ambassador to France, wrote to James Madison proposing that the U.S. Constitution, then under consideration by the States, be amended to include “trial by jury in all matters of fact triable by the laws of the land [as opposed the law of admiralty] and not by the laws of Nations [i.e. not by the law of admiralty]”. The result was the Seventh Amendment to the U.S. Constitution. Alexander Hamilton and John Adams were both admiralty lawyers and Adams represented John Hancock in an admiralty case in colonial Boston involving seizure of one of Hancock’s ships for violations of Customs regulations. In the more modern era, Supreme Court Justice Oliver Wendell Holmes was an admiralty lawyer before ascending to the federal bench. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Admiralty_law

The Roman Court is very confusing – even for some judges – because it does not operate according to any true set rules of law but rather by presumptions of law. If these presumptions presented by the Private Bar Guild (BAR attorneys) are not rebutted they become fact and thereafter are said to stand as a “Truth in Commerce.” Despite the façade, the world is a playground of commercial business and is secretly owned by private foreign corporations.

Why is the Bar Guild so hell-bent on keeping everything on the private side? Because the public side invokes Constitutional issues and nothing they do can withstand a Constitutional challenge. The organic Constitution still exists in its original glory and authority and is buried in the US Printing Office.

All amendments since 1871 do not exist. Why? It was the “corporate mission statement” for the District of Columbia that was written in 1871 to resemble the organic Constitution. It is that corporate mission statement that has been amended since 1871 and chopped up as of late.[²]

A Legal Way to Defeat this System

Specifically, there is a defendant living in Florida who discovered the answer to this puzzle and properly embraced his (all caps name/strawman) by registering it as a “Fictitious Name” with the state of Florida.

This process identified him as having a commercial and intellectual proprietary interest in the (all caps name). He, by entering it as such clearly on the Public Record, successfully rebutted all (12) presumptions on the private side of the Admiralty Court and nullified its “jurisdiction.”

² This is also the Constitution that government employees take their oath to today.

What did he do?

The Registration of a Fictitious Name is something you might do if you wanted to open a commercial business and you wanted to reserve a “creative name” to identify that business. The process, however, does not obligate you to ever open a business or to incorporate. It simply reserves the name for your future use and as your commercial and intellectual proprietary property.

For many years patriots have attempted to disassociate their sovereign beings from the legal fiction – the all caps name / strawman – created by the corporate government because this was designed to make you personally vulnerable and convert your living being into a corporation – a thing – and the property of the corporate government.

Certain patriots properly decided to embrace the corporate fiction / strawman as their own personal property by affidavit using a Financing Statement filed under the UCC (Uniform Commercial Code) as a notice to the world. This is because an unrebutted affidavit stands as Truth in Commerce [See APPENDIX E] and the government never rebuts these affidavits.

So why didn't it work?

The patriots bypassed one crucial step. They failed to rebut the presumptions of the private side of the corporate government and courts that imprisoned their sweat equity and labor.

An unrebutted presumption stands as Truth in Commerce. Their presumption nullified the affidavit and placed them on the private side.

There are twelve (12) key presumptions asserted by the Private Bar Guilds, which, if left unchallenged, stand as Truth in Commerce. [See APPENDIX C]

These are:

- i. The Public Record
- ii. Public Service
- iii. Public Oath
- iv. Immunity
- v. Summons
- vi. Custody
- vii. Court of Guardians
- viii. Court of Trustees
- ix. Government as Executor/Beneficiary
- x. Executor De Son Tort (not a party to)
- xi. Incompetence
- xii. Guilt

I'm only going to discuss (6) of those (12) presumptions. However, Frank O'Collins did a superb job addressing these presumptions in an exposé titled “A history of today's slavery” and I encourage you all to read it.

Canon 3228 (i): The Presumption Of Public Record

Any matter brought before a lower Roman Court is a matter for the public record, when in fact it is presumed by the Private Bar Guild as private business. Unless this presumption is openly rebutted by filing or stating clearly on the Public Record that the matter is to be a part of the Public Record, the matter remains on the private side as private Bar Guild business under private Guild rules.

The defendant in this particular case recorded on the Public Record the Registration Certificate issued by the state of Florida, identifying his registered ownership of the fictitious (all caps name), which proved that he was not the alleged defendant on the Courts Docket. I believe I should refer to him as the alleged defendant from here on.

Canon 3228 (ii), (iii) and (iv): The Presumptions Of Public Service; Oath And Immunity.

If the Judge ignores the alleged defendants Fictitious Name Registration entered into the Public Record, which is clearly presented to him in open Court and then decides to move forward with the case, he violates his public service oath and judicial immunity under these sub-sections.

Canon 3228 (v): The Presumption Of Summons

A summons, when unrebutted, stands as Truth in Commerce. Attendance in a Court is usually invoked by invitation and therefore one who attends Court initiated by a summons, warrant, subpoena or replevin bond, is presumed to accept the position of a (defendant, juror, witness or thing) and the (jurisdiction) of the Court.

If these instruments are not rejected and returned, with a copy of the rejection filed clearly on the Public Record (jurisdiction) the presumed position and the presumption of guilt also stands as Truth in Commerce.

In this particular case the alleged defendant rebutted his forced appearance by presenting the Judge with the recorded registration certificate issued by Florida. This certificate stated he is not the defendant on the courts docket. ‘The name is fictitious and I am the registered owner of that name under Florida law.’

Canon 3228 (vi): The Presumption Of Custody

Those who attend a Court initiated pursuant to the command of a summons or warrant, is presumed to be “corporate property or a thing” and therefore is liable to be detained in custody by the Courts appointed or elected “Custodian.”

Custodians may only retain custody over “property and things” and not flesh and blood living beings. Unless this presumption is openly challenged by rejection of the summons or warrant on the Public Record, the presumption stands as Truth in Commerce and you are thereafter treated as a “thing or property.”

In this particular case this presumption was absolutely rebutted when the alleged defendant proved his arrest was a case of mistaken identity and in no way could the Court Custodian detain him after that.

Sixteen words written across the face of the summons or warrant; notarized and filed on the Public Record will cure most problems. Those words are:

I do not accept this offer to contract and I do not consent to these proceedings.

In addition to the above sections of Canon Law 3228, the defendant has also unknowingly rebutted the balance of the (12) presumptions:

- * Court Guardians
- * Court Trustees
- * Government as Executor and Beneficiary
- * Executor De Son Tort (not a party to)
- * Incompetence
- * Guilt

This particular defendant succeeded in accomplishing all of this by “registering” his ALL CAPS name as a “Fictitious Name” in which only he now owns an absolute commercial and intellectual proprietary interest in the state of Florida. By entering it in the (Public Record) he has overcome all (12) presumptions and nullified the “prosecution and jurisdiction” of the private Roman court. His next step would be to record it in the UCC, which is a notice to the world.

Checkmate

There is no way for the corporate government and private Roman Court to proceed against this living being. If the prosecutor was to disclose the presumptive frauds that the Court has been operating under in the private side, it would also nullify the case and subject the judge to arrest and damages for “prosecutorial fraud” and the “absence of jurisdiction.”

Please note that the judge’s only legal response to the alleged defendant is to Order a “Stay” until the defendant secures counsel (meaning an attorney and BAR Guild member). If it is reported that the alleged defendant has not secured counsel the case remains absolutely deadlocked! If this open “stay” does not cause him any harm (and it shouldn’t) he can choose to

- * do nothing or
- * he can file a two page “Motion to Dismiss” or
- * he can file a “Rule to Show Cause” seeking a summary judgment for damages on behalf of his living being.

What would happen if the individual follows the judge’s advice and hires an attorney? In all probability his attorney would use the alleged defendant’s “signed power of attorney” to withdraw the “Fictitious Name Registration” from the Public Record. The defendant would more than likely be imprisoned, tried on the private side, and convicted!

What other applications can this process be used for?

- * licensing
- * tax collections
- * foreclosures
- * debt collections
- * the vehicle code, to name a few.



All of these matters are found on the private side and none could withstand a Constitutional challenge.

Again, checkmate! (Don’t you just love a good story with a happy ending?)

SECTION 4

LAWFUL STRATEGIES

FOR DEALING WITH OUR CORPORATE-COMMERCIAL-GOVERNMENT



STRATEGIES

The following is NOT legal advice. It is the formulation of common principles of commercial contracts and lawful due process. As the current government functions exclusively as a commercial enterprise, it is important that - for the moment - we respond to it as such.

Remember, the Constitution is NOT the Law of the Land - the Uniform Commercial Code is! There are no Constitutional or common law courts, except the Supreme Court which is available only to very few wealthy and determined people. Constitutional and/or common law courts have been replaced by statutory courts of Admiralty law.

Because our country is nothing more than a commercial enterprise, OUR SIGNATURE IS OUR MOST VALUABLE POSSESSION. And, it is required on many documents that are not in our best interest to sign. Your signature on certain documents and forms amounts to your consenting to someone else's terms. So, don't sign anything without reading it and understanding it fully! In fact, a great strategy to slow down this deceptive system is to demand that all applications/forms/agreements presented to you are explained fully - in great detail - before you sign. Since most who present these documents can't explain their terms, this action alone will put a huge stick in the spokes of this deceptive machine.

The following strategies and templates represent a collaboration of many people. Sadly, in a predatory system like ours, there are no guarantees. But, hiring a BAR attorney will not protect you, as he or she is obliged to accept the authority of the STATE OF - not challenge it. After all, BAR attorneys get their BAR cards from the JUDICIARY/SUPREME COURTS FOR STATE OF. XXXX, which is listed as a private corporation on Dun & Bradstreet.

Here are three strategies for dealing with the BAR's commercial legal system: **clarification, inquiry, and notice.**

Strategy (a) **CLARIFY**

Too often people are accused of violating a law or statute - after the fact - when they had absolutely no awareness of the law they were accused of violating. As the vast majority of the American population is completely unaware of *Bond vs. UNITED STATES* (APPENDIX B) and the necessity of consent (or contract - APPENDIX D), informed consent is essentially impossible. This explains why statute enforcers and lawyers keep the nature and applicability to these laws vague. However there is a doctrine that voids vague laws:

Void for Vagueness Doctrine

" . . . a vague law is a violation of due process because the law does not provide fair warning of a prohibition and fails to set standards for enforcement that would govern the exercise of the police power."

<http://dictionary.findlaw.com/definition/void-for-vagueness-doctrine.html>

(Cited on the Pocket Card Notice of Non-consent - SAMPLE VII)

Under penalty of perjury

Many contracts and even forms contain unclear and potentially devastating terms or conditions. Never agree to the terms of "under penalty of perjury" which is commonly sited on applications and forms. This phrase is a trap for the unsuspecting. If all of the information you provided on the application is factual, "to the best of your knowledge", you are still not protected from prosecution. The "arbiter" of what is factual and what is false is not disclosed, nor is the process that will be used to make that determination should there be a conflict between their records and yours. Generally no assurances are made that you will be permitted to review all of their records and remove all falsehoods. Currently we do not have a legal system that represents the best interests of the people. It is parasitic and has been designed to represent the interests of the for-profit corporate complex. When "under penalty of perjury" appears on a form or application, just draw a line through it, initial it and write the following below it.

"It is not clear who will determine what is factual."

You can also write "under duress" above your signature or "all rights reserved". Always ask for a copy of the form or application for your own records.

If a clerk tells you that he/she cannot accept altered forms, inform him or her that they are not authorized to make that determination. Remind them that you insist your form/application be accepted as is and that his/her superiors will make that determination at a later date. Always be polite . . . never be belligerent.

Strategy (b) **INQUIRE**

As the entire system is a vast network of corporations, there needs to be a signed contract obliging the signatory to perform as the Clearfield Doctrine [APPENDIX A] states. When statutes are crafted by corporate government employees, they generally include a performance 'demand' and a penalty for non-performance. However, unless we work for them, these statutes only apply to us if we consent. Remember these statutes, rules, and regulations are their own private devises. We have no ability to create them or revoke them - and they are copyrighted.

"These corporate laws and regulations are called statutes and their affect and control over human beings is deceptively obtained by consent through civil contracts"

From *The Great American Adventure* by Judge Dale, retired.

When the corporative-government representative sends you a notice to perform or to pay a fee or penalty, simply request in writing that they produce a contract containing your original signature demonstrating an obligation on your part to adhere to their private statute(s). [See Sample I] If they provide you with a copy of a specific contract

that you signed, - and all the terms were not disclosed - you can always respond by notifying them in writing that as all of the terms and conditions were not disclosed, you are rescinding your signature on the agreement/contract. You can point out in your communication that all contracts/agreements containing undisclosed terms are neither lawful nor enforceable per Supreme Court decision *Bond v UNITED STATES* (2000). More likely than not, they have no such contract in their possession.

If a corporate-government representative is at your door or on your property requesting your compliance, simply ask them to identify themselves and the statute that grants them the authority to approach you by handing them a *Corporate Government Employee Questionnaire*. [See Sample II] Always be polite.

Debt Collection

Many corporate governments have now turned revenue collection over to private debt collection agencies. Debt collectors generally have no contract with you because they simply purchased someone else's IOU without the contract. This letter is a great way to deal with all debt collection entities. It is a DEBT VALIDATION letter/notice. [See Sample III]

Strategy (c)

GIVE NOTICE

Performance Demands

Sometimes we are told that a corporate statute requires a specific performance; like-over vaccinating our children or permitting unsafe smart meter surveillance systems to be mounted on our homes.

Under these conditions a NOTICE might be our only strategy for non-compliance aka non-consent. A NOTICE is lawful in their system, particularly when un-rebutted. So, to make our stand and set the record straight, we need to put them on notice. [See "Samples" in SECTION 5] Affidavits and legal notices are commonly used instruments in their system. These documents are used all the time by just plain folks during the course of 'doing business'.

Like affidavits, notices should state facts.

<http://legal-dictionary.thefreedictionary.com/Notice>

The concept of notice is critical to the integrity of legal proceedings. Due process requires that legal action cannot be taken against anyone unless the requirements of notice and an opportunity to be heard are observed.

An important part of giving notice is to state the facts regarding the 'player's' for-profit corporate status. Exposing that government entities and agencies are merely for-profit corporations is the surest way to remove their credibility and authority. The list includes the STATE OF, COUNTY, MUNICIPALITY, the FDA, the CDC, the EPA, the USDA, the FCC and on and on. As long as these entities are private corporations, they need signed contracts (consent) to enforce compliance. [See the Clearfield Doctrine; APPENDIX A]

The recipient of a NOTICE has 30 days to rebut it. It is important to save a copy of your notice should efforts to force compliance of a rule/regulation continue. Notices can be hand delivered, sent by certified mail (with a return receipt) or published in the newspaper with other legal notices. Notices can be created to address many issues.

Notifying the Judge

While Judge Dale has explained in detail why the courts are not our courts, but are administrative courts of the corporation, occasionally people might find themselves unwittingly in their arena. Judge Dale offers invaluable guidance for anyone caught in just such a situation. He also offers instructions regarding notifying the courtroom judge of our real status, thus nullifying their jurisdiction.

Here are important excerpts about our courts from Judge Dale's *The Matrix and the US Constitution*:³

³ Available free online on the homepage of AntiCorruptionSociety.com

Page 16

"All of our governments are corporations and are responsible for the creation of about 800 thousand laws called statutes, which are designed to control the Sovereign people of America. Just like the King; these statutes cannot be enforced against the Source of Law, which are the living, breathing, flesh and blood Sovereign people."

"All of the Agents in power beginning with the King, the Vatican, the Founding Fathers and now our presumed public officials, wanted to obtain power and control over America and the Constitution pretty much prohibited them from achieving those ends! So they began to devise ways to change the Sovereign Americans into a corporate fiction."

pg 17

"Are you a United States Citizen? YES / NO and everyone circles the YES answer. Didn't you? Now look up the definition of a "United States Citizen," in a reputable law dictionary. You will discover that a United States Citizen is a phrase designed to identify a 'corporate fiction!'"

pg 17

"Under our corporate governments, no Sovereign can lawfully be tried or convicted of any statutory crime! I recently discovered how to avoid prosecution under the Trust,⁴ when a Sovereign is taken before a corporate prosecuting Attorney or a Judge:"

First: the Sovereign must inquire if we are on the record, and if not, insist upon it! Say nothing, sign nothing and answer no questions until you are convinced that the proceedings are being recorded!

Secondly: all a Sovereign has to say for the record is: "I am a beneficiary of the Trust, and I am appointing you as my Trustee!"

Thirdly: the Sovereign then directs his Trustee to do his bidding! "As my Trustee, I want you to discharge this matter I am accused of and eliminate the record!"

Fourthly: if the Sovereign suffered any damages as a result of his arrest, he can direct that the Trust compensate him from the proceeds of the Court by saying; "I wish to be compensated for [X] dollars, in redemption." This statement is sufficient to remove the authority and jurisdiction from any prosecuting attorney or judge. The accused will be immediately released from custody, with a check, license or claim he identifies as a damage. It doesn't matter what the action involves or how it is classified by the corporate law as a civil or criminal action! It works every time!"

Pg 20

"One hundred percent (100%) of the people sentenced and held in all American Jails have either been convicted of crimes that are not positive law or were convicted of civil crimes, and are being detained there by their consent! That's Right! The lawyers and judges representing our legislature and judicial system; created maneuvers to insure that anyone who is accused of a so-called crime and posts bail, signs a contract to appear and consents by that contract to the proceedings scheduled. Anyone who applies for a public defender, signs the same contract without knowing it and anyone who privately hires a lawyer to represent them in a Court proceeding, consents to the same contract upon the lawyer filing a "Notice of Appearance!" When you hire a lawyer, you signed a Power of Attorney. He is required to file his Notice of Appearance in that case and that Notice of Appearance offers your consent and binds your appearance to the proceedings!"

"Absent these aforementioned contracts; the Court cannot proceed against you! When that occurs; the Judge and the Prosecutor, attempt to trick and intimidate you into giving your consent! If you know how to invoke your Sovereignty, and you take what they throw at you, and stand your ground; they will be forced to release you after 72 hours has elapsed!"

⁴ This refers to the Public Trust (Cestui Que) that holds the value of your birth certificate. This is explained in Section 2, Judge Dale's *The Legal Process*.

SECTION 5

Sample Questionnaires, Letters, and Notices

Copies and templates for the following sample letters, questionnaires and notices can be located at AntiCorruptionSociety.com; tab: LAWFULLY YOURS. They are listed at the bottom of page as "Download the Word doc templates [here](#)" and "Download the 4 X 6 pocket card notices [here](#)" All but the questionnaire and the pocket card notices are designed to be personalized.

SAMPLE I LETTER OF INQUIRY

date

Employee name, title
Department
GOVERNMENT CORPORATION
Address
CITY, STATE ZIP

Dear Mr. name,

Recently we received a communication from your office regarding making application for a newly created permit.

You cited a rule/code/ordinance/statute passed by the private corporation known as the CITY OF XXX in your communication, but did not identify the statute by name, number and effective date.

Freedom of Information Request

Please provide us with the name, number, and effective date of the rule/code/ordinance/statute that prompted your communication. And, please send us all documents that contain the original signatures of either my husband or me that created the obligation for either of us to adhere to that CITY OF XXX corporate rule/code/ordinance/statute.

Appreciatively,

Signature

First and last name only
address
City, and State

SAMPLE II
GOVERNMENT-CORPORATION EMPLOYEE QUESTIONNAIRE

For all employees of federal, state, county, municipal and township corporations.

Public Law 93-579 states in part: "The purpose of this Act to provide certain safeguards for an individual against invasion of personal privacy by requiring government agencies . . . to permit an individual to determine what records (documents) pertaining to him (or her) are collected, maintained, used, or disseminated by such agencies."

The following questions are based upon that act, government prohibitions regarding identity theft and recognition of the commercial statutes that define your employment.

Please fill out the form completely.

My identification per your records

1. My name as it appears in your files

2. My address as it appears in your files

City _____ State _____

3. My legal status as listed in your files

Government-corporation employee information

4. Full Legal Name:

5. Residence Address

CITY _____ STATE _____ ZIP _____

6. Badge or employee ID#

7. Employee job title

8. Employee phone number

9. Name of government-corporation that employs you (please use the legal all caps name as listed on Dun and Bradstreet)

10. Name of department, bureau or agency of that corporation that employs you

11. Name of supervisor _____

12. Supervisor's mailing address:

CITY _____ STATE _____ ZIP _____

13. Supervisor's phone number

14. Name of department head _____

15. Department head's mailing address if different from supervisor's

CITY _____ STATE _____ ZIP _____

16. Department head's phone number

Statutory identification

17. Name, number and effective date of the government-corporation statute (rule or regulation) that generated this encounter:

18. Are you aware of a document (with my original signature) that obligates me to adhere to this corporate statute of your employer?

Yes No

19. The name of this document:

20. Under penalty of perjury, please attest by signing below that you have personally seen this document and can attest to its validity?

_____ Date _____

SAMPLE III
LETTER OF DEBT VALIDATION

date

Your first and last name only
Street address
city, state zip

Employee name, Title
Department
COMPANY NAME
Address
CITY, STATE ZIP

Dear Mr. name,

This letter is not a refusal to pay, but a notice sent pursuant to the Fair Credit Reporting Act 15 U.S.C. §1681, that your claim is disputed and validation is requested.

This is not a request for “verification” or proof of my mailing address, but a request for VALIDATION made pursuant to the above named Title and Section. I respectfully request that your offices provide me with competent evidence that I have any legal obligation to pay you.

Please provide me with the following:

- What the money you say I owe is for.
- Explain and show me how you specifically calculated the entire amount of what you say I owe.
- Provide me with copies of any and all papers that show I agreed to pay what you say I owe to include original signatures.
- Identify the ORIGINAL creditor.
- Provide me with a copy of ANY judgment you say gives you the right to collect anything from me.

If your offices are able to provide the proper documentation as requested, I will require at least 30 days after receipt to investigate this information and during such time all collection activity must cease and desist.

If your offices fail to respond to this validation request within 30 days from the date of your receipt, all references to this account must be deleted and completely removed from my credit files and a copy of such deletion request shall be sent to me immediately. Until proper validation is provided you are to cease all collection efforts.

I would also like to request, in writing, that no telephone contact be made by your offices to my home or to my place of employment. If your offices attempt telephone communication with me, including but not limited to computer generated calls and calls or correspondence sent to or with any third parties, it will be considered harassment. All future communications with me MUST be done in writing and sent to the address noted in this letter by USPS.

It would be advisable that you assure that your records are in order before I am forced to take legal action. This is an attempt to correct your records; any information obtained shall be used for that purpose.

Respectfully,

Signature

First and Last Name
Sent by certified mail, #XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

Vaccination Notice of Non-consent for Physicians and Schools

Before submitting a vaccination notice to the physician, request the package insert for the vaccine he/she wishes to give you or your child. Do not accept CDC vaccine information sheets as a substitute. Do not decide while in his/her office, but take it home and read it. **DO NOT SIGN ANY VACCINE REFUSAL FORMS⁵** the doctor or nurse offers you. It is imperative that you present your own notice to them instead. The following notice is written from the point of view of a well informed rational parent, because most certainly the benefits of today's vaccines do not outweigh the risks.

The Vaccination Notice⁶ is designed to inform the doctor, hospital or school of the reasons you are opposed to their administering vaccines to your child and that you will only consent if they agree to accept the liability - in writing, **which they would never do**. The statements listed on the notice are factual and easy to validate. This approach should help put an end to the endless arguments that pediatricians and others inflict on vaccine-aware parents.

FILLING OUT THE NOTICE

1. Items in grey need to be personalized.
2. Select son or daughter as applicable.
3. Corporate entities need to be in all caps.
4. The health department of each state is listed in its corporate name in all caps on Dun and Bradstreet. That information is accessible for free online.
5. At the bottom of the notice is a space for the parent's signature and the signature of two witnesses. Of course the dates need to be identical. An acceptable alternative to two witnesses would be to sign in front of a notary and have them stamp it for you. Use blue ink for signatures.

DELIVERING THE NOTICE

This notice requires little discussion. Just hand it to the nurse or doctor. Politely explain that you are not comfortable with the vaccine risks and wish to have this notice placed in the child's records so you don't have to bring in a new one each time your child sees the doctor or nurse. If asked where you obtained the document, simply say from another parent, which is true. Giving more information is neither required nor advisable. Citing websites or vaccine-aware organizations just motivates those in the vaccination-distribution-business to track down and discredit folks that are doing their best to bring good information to the public. And, frankly where you get your information is none of their business.

Do not answer detailed questions about your objections to any vaccine. Just repeat what is on the notice; "I am aware of multiple scientific peer-reviewed papers that have exposed the dangers of many vaccines." Doctors and nurses are well armed with 'talking points' designed to overcome all claims you might make regarding vaccines and nearly all authors you might site. According to Russell Blaylock, MD there are lots of peer-reviewed articles on this topic for doctors and nurses to read. It is their job to seek this information. It is not your job to provide it to them. The notice just states facts and is designed to be self-explanatory.

Should the clerk, doctor, or nurse refuse to accept your notice, remind them that legal notices are an important element in due process. Keep a copy for yourself and put the name of the employee who accepted (or rejected) the notice and the date it was delivered on the bottom of the page.

Should school employees refuse to place the notice in your son or daughter's file, refer them to the "Notice to agent is notice to principal clause". Write Notice refused by Agent (first and last name) on such and such date in the space at the top of the notice. Then take the notice home and send it certified mail (with return receipt) to the Superintendent of the school. Include a short explanatory letter. Following is a sample letter for the school superintendent.

⁵ For more information go to ParentsAgainstMandatoryVaccines.com; "DO NOT SIGN"

⁶ See Notice <http://legal-dictionary.thefreedictionary.com/Notice>

VACCINATION NOTICE

Notice to agent is notice to principal - Notice to principal is notice to agent

As the parent of Sally Doe, I am prohibited by law from endangering my son or daughter; therefore, I declare the following Sally Doe's address: 2525 Maple Lane, Grove City, Ohio

- 1) I am aware that those ordering and/or administering vaccines have been granted immunity from liability should my son or daughter suffer from a vaccine caused injury or illness. Since the Supreme Court decision Bruesewitz v. Wyeth (Feb 22, 2011), drug companies are under no legal obligation to insure their vaccine products are either safe or effective. The Vaccine Injury Compensation Trust Fund is not an acceptable alternative to me. (Reason listed below - #10)
2) Unless I receive the vaccine manufacturer's package inserts, I have not been given full disclosure regarding any vaccine. CDC or public health vaccine information sheets and/or websites are not acceptable alternatives. (Reasons listed below - #4 & #5)
3) I am aware that vaccine schedules have been established by the CDC and are promoted by public health departments, the American Academy of Pediatrics and other organizations. I do not accept CDC recommendations as science-based. (Reasons listed below - #4 & #6)
4) I do not recognize the CDC as a government health advocacy organization. It is a corporation listed on Dun and Bradstreet and headquartered in the STATE OF GEORGIA, with strong ties to the pharmaceutical industry. Therefore, their recommendations are influenced by the 'fiscal' health of their corporation.
5) I am aware that physician records are reviewed by the HEALTH, OHIO DEPARTMENT OF, a corporation headquartered in COLUMBUS OH and listed on Dun and Bradstreet, and who receive monetary compensation from the CDC to perform this function. Therefore, the state public health department's recommendations and actions are influenced by the 'fiscal' health of their corporation.
6) I do not recognize the AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS nor the AMERICAN ACADEMY OF FAMILY PHYSICIANS as health advocacy organizations. They are both corporations (listed on Dun and Bradstreet) that are headquartered in the STATE OF ILLINOIS and the STATE OF KANSAS respectively, whose monetary compensation from the vaccine manufacturers contributes to the 'fiscal' health of their corporations.
7) I am aware that many physicians are paid higher reimbursement rates for administering vaccines.
8) I am aware that LEGISLATORS for the corporation known as the STATE OF OHIO, listed on Dun and Bradstreet, vote on statutes and rules for the STATE OF OHIO. These included statues mandating unsafe pharmaceutical products called vaccines for attendance in education institutions. As the LEGISLATORS have no medical training and can easily be influenced by drug company lobbyists and/or the CDC, I do not accept their corporate statutory mandates as science-based.
9) I am aware of multiple scientific peer-reviewed papers that have exposed the dangers of many vaccines as well as the "herd immunity myth" of 1933.
10) I am aware that the corporation HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF (listed on Dun and Bradstreet and headquartered in WASHINGTON DC) determines claims paid from the Vaccine Injury Compensation Trust Fund via a secret administrative process and also profits from vaccine patents.
11) I have concluded that failure to follow the CDC recommendations about vaccinations is less likely to "endanger the health or life of my child or others" than following their recommendations.

As parent or guardian I am prohibited by law to endanger my child. So, for the reasons I have listed and more, I deny permission for anyone to administer the CDC recommended vaccines to my son or daughter unless they provide me with the vaccine package insert, allow me to determine if the health risks are acceptable, and sign a document stating that they personally, not me (and or my spouse) will be responsible for any injury or illness (as defined by the International Medical Council on Vaccination) the vaccine they administer might cause.

NOTE: This document can be used to protect those that administer vaccines (physicians, nurses or others) or are obliged to adhere to corporate statutes from any punitive statutory actions or penalties.

Parent/Guardian: Signature: Date:
Parent/Guardian: Signature: Date:
Witness: Signature: Date:
Witness: Signature: Date:

SAMPLE LETTER FOR SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT

date

Name, Superintendent
NAME OF SCHOOL SYSTEM
street address
CITY, STATE ZIP

Dear Mr. name,

My (son or daughter), (first and last name), attends the (name of school) in your school district. On (date) I delivered my Vaccination Notice to your agent, (first and last name) at (name of school). (He or she) denied my lawful request to place my Vaccination Notice in my (son or daughter's) school record. Your agent's inaction necessitated that I send my lawful Vaccination Notice directly to you. It is enclosed.

As stated on my Vaccination Notice, unless I receive a confirmation in writing from you that you - and/or your school district - accepts the liability for any harm or injury the school mandated vaccines might cause my (son or daughter), I consider (him or her) excepted from all vaccinations mandated by the legislators of the corporation known as the STATE OF OHIO.

Please place my Vaccination Notice in my (son or daughter's) school file and make a note on his or her record of this permanent exception.

Appreciatively,

Signature

First and last name only
address
City, and State

Sent by certified mail, #XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

Vaccination Notice of Non-consent for Employers and Colleges

The following notice is designed to inform your employer of the conditions under which you will comply with their flu shot (or other vaccine) request. See *Notice* <http://legal-dictionary.thefreedictionary.com/Notice> Before filling out and turning in this notice, employees must request the vaccine package insert (not the CDC vaccination information sheet) for the vaccine they are being asked to take. If the appropriate insert is provided, inform the employer that you must take it home to read and consider. **DO NOT JUST READ IT AND HAND IT BACK.** It could be used as evidence should you accept the vaccine and get injured by it.

If no insert is provided, fill out and deliver the Vaccine Notice (a). If the inset is provided use the Vaccination Notice (b). This Vaccination Notice was designed as a tool to help employees decline unreasonable flu shot (or other vaccine) requests . . . and hopefully keep their jobs.

FILLING OUT THE NOTICE

1. Items in grey need to be personalized.
2. Corporate entities need to be in all caps.
3. The health department of each state is listed in its corporate name in all caps on Dun and Bradstreet. That information is accessible for free online.
4. The report referenced in the notice can be read at ParentsAgainstMandatoryVaccines.com under the title: *Health Hazards of Disease Prevention*
5. At the bottom of the notice is a space for the employee or student's signature and the signature of two witnesses. Of course the dates need to be identical. An acceptable alternative to two witnesses would be to sign in front of a notary and have them stamp it for you. Use blue ink for signatures.

DELIVERING THE NOTICE

This notice requires little discussion. Just hand it to the department that notified the employee of the vaccine request. Politely explain that you are not yet able to make a decision regarding the employer's vaccine request and you wish to notify them of the additional assurances you require before complying. Remember that there have been adults who were permanently severely disabled by vaccines - whose employers paid zero in compensation! And for this very reason drug companies refused to stop making vaccines unless they were given immunity from liability. It is extremely unlikely your employer will provide a document accepting liability should you suffer illness or injury from the vaccine. If asked where you obtained the notice, simply say from another individual who shares your concerns, which is true. Giving more information is not required and is not advisable. Citing websites or vaccine aware organizations just motivates those in the well funded vaccination-distribution-business to track down and discredit folks that are doing their best to bring good information to the public.

The notice just states indisputable facts and is designed to be self-explanatory. However, if you don't understand all of the items on the notice and agree they are factual, do not use it. Keep a copy of the notice for yourself and write the name of the individual you gave it to and the date on the bottom of the notice. Should you be told your employer will not accept this notice, ask if they would prefer you sent it by certified mail to the head of the Department of Human Resources. Be sure to save a copy of the notice for your own records and write on it the name of the individual who received it and the date. Always be polite and appear cooperative.

SAMPLE V (a)
EMPLOYEE/STUDENT VACCINATION NOTICE (a) -single page notice

As employee or student of XYZ MEDICAL CENTER, INC, I declare the following:

My employer or school is requesting that I accept a flu shot vaccine as a condition of my employment or enrollment.

1) I am aware that since Supreme Court decision *Bruesewitz v. Wyeth* (Feb 22, 2011) those manufacturing, ordering and/or administering vaccines have been granted immunity from liability should I suffer from a vaccine caused injury or illness, such as Guillian Barre. The Vaccine Injury Compensation Trust Fund is not an acceptable alternative to me. (Reason listed below - #7)

2) Enclosing the adverse effects of pharmaceutical products is common practice for pharmacists. So, unless I am provided the vaccine manufacturer's package inserts, I will not have been given the information I need to make an informed decision regarding the risks of taking the vaccine. CDC, public health, or other vaccine information sheets and/or websites are not acceptable alternatives. (Reason listed below - #4).

3) I am aware that vaccine recommendations have been established by the CDC and are promoted by public health departments and other various organizations. I do not recognize these corporations as health advocacy institutions. (Reasons listed below - #4 & #5)

4) I do not recognize the CDC as a government health advocacy organization. It is a corporation listed on Dun and Bradstreet and headquartered in the STATE OF GEORGIA, with strong ties to the pharmaceutical industry. Therefore, their recommendations are influenced by the 'fiscal' health of their own corporation.

5) I do not recognize the HEALTH, OHIO DEPARTMENT OF as a government health advocacy organization. It is listed on Dun and Bradstreet, is headquartered in COLUMBUS OH, has strong ties to the CDC and the pharmaceutical industry and receives monetary compensation to promote vaccines. Therefore, the state public health department's recommendations and actions are influenced by the 'fiscal' health of their own corporation.

6) I have seen peer-reviewed scientific reports, such as *The vaccination policy and the Code of Practice of the Joint Committee on Vaccination and Immunisation (JCVI): are they at odds?*, which have provided proof that governments have been concealing the dangers of many vaccines as well as the "herd immunity myth".

7) I am aware that the corporation HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF (listed on Dun and Bradstreet and headquartered in WASHINGTON DC) determines claims paid from the Vaccine Injury Compensation Trust Fund via a biased secret administrative process and also profits from vaccine patents.

8) I am unaware of any state statute that grants XYZ MEDICAL CENTER, INC, the authority to require employees or applicants to take a pharmaceutical product (that is not warranted as either safe or effective by the manufacturer) as a condition of their employment or admission. If such a statute exists, please send me the name, number and effective date.

For the reasons I have listed and more, I cannot comply with XYZ MEDICAL CENTER, INC, vaccine request unless I am provided with the vaccine package insert, allowed to determine if the health risks are acceptable, and presented with a document stating that XYZ MEDICAL CENTER, INC, (not the Vaccine Injury Compensation Trust Fund) agrees to be financially responsible for any and all injuries, illnesses or losses (as defined by the International Medical Council on Vaccination) this vaccine might cause.

NOTE: Please place this notice in my employee records file.

Name:

Address:

Signature:

Date

Witness:

Date:

Witness:

Date:

Notice to agent is notice to principal - Notice to principal is notice to agent

SAMPLE V (b)
EMPLOYEE/STUDENT VACCINATION NOTICE (b)

As employee or student of XYZ MEDICAL CENTER, INC, I declare the following:

My employer or school is requesting that I accept a flu shot vaccine as a condition of my employment or enrollment.

- 1) I am aware that since Supreme Court decision *Bruesewitz v. Wyeth* (Feb 22, 2011) those manufacturing, ordering and/or administering vaccines have been granted immunity from liability should I suffer from a vaccine caused injury or illness, such as Guillian Barre. The Vaccine Injury Compensation Trust Fund is not an acceptable alternative to me. (Reason listed below - #7)
- 2) I requested, received and reviewed the manufacturer's package insert for the vaccine I am being requested to take. The possible adverse reactions listed on this insert, exposed health risks I am unwilling to take.
- 3) I am aware that vaccine recommendations have been established by the CDC and are promoted by public health departments and other various organizations. I do not recognize these corporations as health advocacy institutions. (Reasons listed below - #4 & #5)
- 4) I do not recognize the CDC as a government health advocacy organization. It is a corporation listed on Dun and Bradstreet and headquartered in the STATE OF GEORGIA, with strong ties to the pharmaceutical industry. Therefore, their recommendations are influenced by the 'fiscal' health of their own corporation.
- 5) I do not recognize the HEALTH, OHIO DEPARTMENT OF as a government health advocacy organization. It is listed on Dun and Bradstreet, is headquartered in COLUMBUS OH, has strong ties to the CDC and the pharmaceutical industry and receives monetary compensation to promote vaccines. Therefore, the state public health department's recommendations and actions are influenced by the 'fiscal' health of their own corporation.
- 6) I have seen peer-reviewed scientific reports, such as *The vaccination policy and the Code of Practice of the Joint Committee on Vaccination and Immunisation (JCVI): are they at odds?*, which have provided proof that governments have been concealing the dangers of many vaccines as well as the "herd immunity myth".
- 7) I am aware that the corporation HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF (listed on Dun and Bradstreet and headquartered in WASHINGTON DC) determines claims paid from the Vaccine Injury Compensation Trust Fund via a biased secret administrative process and also profits from vaccine patents.
- 8) I am unaware of any state statute that grants XYZ MEDICAL CENTER, INC, the authority to require employees or applicants to take a pharmaceutical product (that is not warranted as either safe or effective by the manufacturer) as a condition of their employment or admission. If such a statute exists, please send me the name, number and effective date.

For the reasons I have listed and more, I cannot comply with XYZ MEDICAL CENTER, INC, vaccine request unless I am presented with a document stating that XYZ MEDICAL CENTER, INC, (not the Vaccine Injury Compensation Trust Fund) agrees to be financially responsible for any and all injuries, illnesses or losses (as defined by the International Medical Council on Vaccination) this vaccine might cause.

NOTE: Please place this notice in my employee records file.

Name of employee:

Employees Address:

Employee signature:

Date

Witness:

Date:

Witness:

Date:

Notice to agent is notice to principal - Notice to principal is notice to agent

- single page notice -

Smart Meter Notice of Non-consent

Following is a lawful strategy for denying consent for your electric company to install a smart meter on your home or business. It can also be reworded to demand that one be removed if already in place.

This is not legal advice. It is a common sense approach to notifying your electric company of your awareness and preferences while piercing their corporate veil at the same time.

The letter and form should be sent by certified mail to the CEO and top officers of your electric company. The fact that the private for-profit corporation that represents your public utility commission has approved the installation of smart meters, while making no assurances as to their safety, puts this issue right back into the lap of the private electric corporations. The general population has no input regarding the appointment of public utility commissioners and no legal contract with any of them. These commissioners do not work for the people.

The sample letter can be used as a template but needs to be personalized. The names of the electric company's officers and the public utility commissioners can generally be found on the internet.

The notice is a collection of undisputable facts. It is important to note that NONE of the players in the smart meter rollout are making any claims regarding the safety of this biologically damaging device. Therefore SAFETY IS THE ISSUE. In our dysfunctional legal system, consent is presumed unless specifically denied. The notice is designed to meet that requirement . . . officially deny consent.

Save copy of the letter, the notice and the certified mail label. In our sample, there would be six letters, six notices and six certified mail labels.

NOTE: On the SAMPLE SMART METER NOTICE items in grey are to be personalized.

SAMPLE SMART METER LETTER OF NON-CONSENT

date

Your first and last name only
address
city and state

Nicholas K. Akins, Chief Executive Officer
AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER
1 Riverside Plaza
COLUMBUS, OH 43215

Dear Mr. Akins,

Recently I became aware that your corporation plans on installing unsafe smart meters on homes and businesses. Please note: I was not a party to that decision.

Attached is my lawful notice regarding the installation of a smart meter on my home. It represents a lawful denial of consent.

I do hereby request that my denial of consent notice be filed in your records and that AEP's smart meter installers be so informed.

I **DO NOT CONSENT** to having a smart meter or an analog wireless transmitter placed on my home or property.

Appreciatively,

Signature

Your first and last name only

cc: Robert P. Powers, AEP Executive Vice President
Brian X. Tierney, AEP Executive Vice President
David M. Feinberg, AEP Executive Vice President
Lana L. Hillebrand, AEP Senior Vice President
Dennis E. Welch, AEP Executive Vice President

**Notice to agent is notice to principal
Notice to principal is notice to agent**

SAMPLE VI SMART METER NOTICE

As a woman who purchases power from *AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY, INC, COLUMBUS OH* , I declare the following:

1) I am aware that *AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY, INC (AEP)* wishes to install smart meters on properties in *OHIO* and have been granted the approval of the *PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, OHIO* to achieve that goal. *AEP* was chartered to provide a public utility service.

2) I am aware that the *PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, OHIO* is a private corporation listed on Dun and Bradstreet and headquartered in *COLUMBUS, OH*. I am aware that *Todd Snitchler, Asim Haque, Steven Lesser, Lynn Slaby, and M. Beth Trombold* serve as *COMMISSIONERS* for the *PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, OHIO (PUCO)*.

SAFETY ISSUE

3) I am aware that some electric corporations in the *STATE OF OHIO* have made continued electric service contingent upon men and/or women permitting smart meters to be attached to their homes and/or businesses. These corporations have endangered these people's health and safety by denying them access to the public utility the corporations were chartered to provide.

4) I am aware that the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION (listed as a private corporation on Dun and Bradstreet and headquartered in WASHINGTON DC) has stated on their web site that there are no national safety standards regarding the radiofrequency energy that smart meters emit.

5) I am aware that PUCO has provided no assurances as to the safety of Smart Meters, despite the fact that they have made a public claim to assure safe and affordable utility services.

6) I am aware that a national health organization, [the American Academy of Environmental Medicine, issued a warning](#) in January 2012 about the unsafe and detrimental health effects of smart meters on men, women and children.

7) I am aware that multiple scientific peer-reviewed papers have exposed the health and safety dangers of smart meters and that this research is readably available in the [BioInitiative Report of 2012](#).

8) I am unaware of any code or regulation that specifically authorizes utility service providers to install equipment that is unsafe and known to harm men or women on homes or businesses. If such a statute exists, please send me the name, number and effective date.

I hereby deny any employee of *AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER* permission to place a smart meter or wireless analog meter on my house. *AEP officers Nicholas Akins, Robert Powers, Brian Tierney, David Feinberg, Lana Hillebrand, and Dennis Welch* have no lawful jurisdiction to harm my health or the health of my family members. Should AEP employees install a smart meter on my property without my consent, I will use all lawful and legal remedies to hold these officers personally - and in their official capacity - liable for any and all harm caused by this dangerous device.

NOTE: This document can be used to protect AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER from any punitive statutory actions or penalties of the EXECUTIVE (CEO) of the UNITED STATES, the DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, the DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, the EPA or any other government-corporation or subdivision thereof.

Name: *Jane Doe*

account number: *22334455*

Address: *25 Maple Lane*

city: *Grove City*

state: *Ohio*

Signature(s): *Jane Doe*

Date: *3-31-13*

Witness: *Jim Walton*

Date: *3-31-13*

Witness: *Roy Rogers*

Date: *3-31-13*

Notice to principal is notice to agent - Notice to agent is notice to principal

- One page notice -

Pocket Card Notices of Non-consent

The following page contains the information on the 4X6 pocket card *NOTICE* - in bold. The FACTS listed are followed by links to the source for validation. It is designed to allow you to notify law enforcement employees - in writing - that you are not a non-human legal "person" and do not consent to their requests, nor do you wish to contract with them. The 4X6 size should make it easy to keep in your wallet or purse, should they approach you for any number of reasons.

It is important that this notice is well understood before it is utilized. Reading the information contained in the links will help accomplish that.

Before presenting this card to a corporate statute enforcer (policeman, Sheriff Deputy, State Trooper, etc.), ask for his or her name and badge number. Put that info on both copies of the card. Be polite and courteous. Then sign and date it in front of the statute enforcer and hand it to him or her. **Never ever become belligerent** with these corporate employees, as many of them are nothing more than bullies! If you have a witness with you, it would be beneficial to get the witness to sign and date the card as well. Say very little about the contents of the card, other than to state that it is a lawful notice of non-consent to be taken under advisement. In other words the card is a lawful notice and they need to read it and talk to their attorneys prior to proceeding.

Recommended verbiage:

"This is a lawful notice of non-consent - to be taken under advisement."

Repeat if necessary.

If asked where you got the notice, say "This is a lawful notice of non-consent - to be taken under advisement."

When asked by the statute enforcer if you "understand", never say yes, because it is a trick question. They are instructed to get you to agree to "stand under" their authority and if you say yes, you are consenting to their authority and agree to abide by their corporate rules and/or regulations. In other words, you will have just verbally nullified your notice of non-consent. The less conversation you have with the law (statute) enforcer the better.

If you are asked if you are a "sovereign" or a "sovereign citizen", respond by saying "This is a lawful notice of non-consent - to be taken under advisement." Refusing to contract with the corporate law enforcement employee is nothing more than refusing to contract with a corporate law enforcement employee.

If asked to sign anything by the statute enforcer, politely refuse. If the statute enforcer become threatening, it might be wise to sign their paper work and put "under duress" above your signature. Signed paper work with the law enforcer might need to be followed up with another notice. See "Citations" in Section 2, *The Legal Process*, by Judge Dale. After the encounter, put your copy of the notice in a safe place as proof that you did not consent nor agree to contract.

From Judge Dale's *The Matrix and the US Constitution* (free online at AntiCorruption Society.com)

One hundred percent (100%) of the people sentenced and held in all American Jails have either been convicted of crimes that are not positive law or were convicted of civil crimes, and are being detained there by their consent! That's Right! The lawyers and judges representing our legislature and judicial system; created maneuvers to insure that anyone who is accused of a so-called crime and posts bail, (signs a contract to appear and consents by that contract to the proceedings scheduled). Anyone who applies for a public defender, signs the same contract without knowing it and anyone who privately hires a lawyer to represent them in a Court proceeding, consents to the same contract upon the lawyer filing a "Notice of Appearance!" When you hire a lawyer, you signed a Power of Attorney. He is required to file his Notice of Appearance in that case and that Notice of Appearance offers your consent and binds your appearance to the proceedings!

Absent these aforementioned contracts (and your signature); the Court cannot proceed against you! When that occurs; the Judge and the Prosecutor, attempt to trick and intimidate you into giving your consent! If you know how to invoke your Sovereignty (not-contract), and you take what they throw at you, and stand your ground; they will be forced to release you after 72 hours has elapsed!

The Resources listed are for the reader's information. They do not appear on the NOTICE, which is available as a free download at AntiCorruptionSociety.com on the LAWFULLY YOURS. It is listed as 4X6 pocket card notices.

SAMPLE VII

NOTICE!

This is a notice of non-consent

FACTS:

1. All law enforcement employees in the UNITED STATES work for private for-profit corporations listed on Dun and Bradstreet.

2. Laws passed by the STATE OF, COUNTY & MUNICIPAL governments are for (non-human) legal "persons" . . . not for flesh and blood men and women - unless we consent or agree to contract.

Resource:

Cornell University Law School's Legal Information Institute defines a person as follows:

"Legal person refers to a non-human entity that is treated as a person for limited legal purposes--corporations, for example."

3. No agency of the corporate government network has the authority to deprive living men and women of their human unalienable rights - unless we consent or agree to contract

Resources:

The Legal Process by Judge Dale, retired - Section 2

Bond vs. UNITED STATES - APPENDIX B; #6 and #7

Clearfield Doctrine - APPENDIX A

4. Enforcement of corporate statutes, rules, regulations or Executive Orders by law enforcement officers - without full disclosure and written consent - are unlawful and these officers can be held personally liable for their actions. [Bond v. U.S. 529 US 334 2000]

Resources:

Bond vs. UNITED STATES - APPENDIX B; #8

Clearfield Doctrine - APPENDIX A

(over)

VOID FOR VAGUENESS DOCTRINE

" . . . a vague law is a violation of due process because the law does not provide fair warning of a prohibition and fails to set standards for enforcement that would govern the exercise of the police power."

Resource:

<http://dictionary.findlaw.com/definition/void-for-vagueness-doctrine.html>

Name of corporation employee notified:

I am not a non-human legal "person".

I do not consent to your request.

I do not wish to contract with you.

Badge #: _____

Signature: first and last name

Date

Witness (if available): *first and last name*

Date

- Notice to Agent is Notice to Principal - Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent -

This notice of non-consent and no contract should be taken under advisement

Forced Vaccinations and/or Quarantine

In light of the recent 'ebola campaign', some folks carry a 4X6 NOTICE of non-consent pocket card in case employees of our [corporate government](#) attempt to demand they accept a vaccine or insist they relocate to an isolation center. While there is no guarantee the statute enforcers will recognize this notice, without it - in our current system - it may not be on the record that consent has been denied. After all, an ounce of prevention is worth more than a pound of cure.

Following is the information contained on the pocket card NOTICE. The FACTS listed are followed by links to the source for validation. It is important that this notice is well understood before it is dispensed. Reading the information contained in the links will help accomplish that.

When presenting this card to a corporate statute enforcer, be polite and courteous. Sign and date it in front of the statute enforcer and hand him/her the card. **Never ever become belligerent** with these corporate employees as many of them are nothing more than bullies! Say very little about the contents of the card other than to state that it is a notice of non-consent to be taken under advisement. In other words the card is a lawful notice and they need to talk to their attorneys prior to proceeding.

Recommended verbiage:

"This is a lawful notice of non-consent - to be taken under advisement."

Repeat if necessary.

If asked where you got the notice, say "This is a lawful notice of non-consent - to be taken under advisement."

When asked by the statute enforcer if you "understand", never say yes, because it is a trick question. They are instructed to get you to agree to "stand under" their authority and if you say yes, you are consenting to their authority and agree to abide by their corporate rules and/or regulations. In other words, you will have just verbally nullified your notice of non-consent. The less conversation you have with the law (statute) enforcer the better.

If you are asked if you are a "sovereign" or a "sovereign citizen", respond by saying "This is a lawful notice of non-consent - to be taken under advisement." Refusing to contract with the corporate law enforcement employee is nothing more than refusing to contract with a corporate law enforcement employee.

If asked to sign anything by the statute enforcer, politely refuse. If the statute enforcer become threatening, it might be wise to sign their paper work and put "under duress" above your signature. Signed paper work with the law enforcer might need to be followed up with another notice. See "Citations" in Section 2, *The Legal Process*, by Judge Dale. After the encounter, put your copy of the notice in a safe place as proof that you did not consent nor agree to contract.

The Resources listed are for the reader's information. They do not appear on the NOTICE, which is available as a free download at [AntiCorruptionSociety.com](#) on the **LAWFULLY YOURS** page. It is listed as 4x6 pocket card notices.

SAMPLE VIII

NOTICE!

This is a vaccination notice of non-consent

FACTS:

1. Laws passed by the STATE OF, COUNTY & MUNICIPAL corporations are for (non-human) legal "persons" . . . not for flesh and blood men and women - unless we consent or agree to contract.

Resource:

Cornell University Law School's Legal Information Institute defines a person as follows:

"Legal person refers to a non-human entity that is treated as a person for limited legal purposes--corporations, for example."

2. Executive Orders written by the President of the corporate UNITED STATES only have authority over the UNITED STATES corporation (and its agency network) located in the 10 mile square of Washington, D.C. - unless we consent or agree to contract.

Resource:

https://www.federalregister.gov/executive-orders

3. No agency of the corporate government network can be "granted" the authority to deprive living men and women of their human unalienable rights - without our written consent or willingness to contract!

Resources:

The Legal Process by Judge Dale, retired - Section 2

Bond vs. UNITED STATES - APPENDIX B; #6 and #7

Clearfield Doctrine - APPENDIX A

4. Those who produce vaccines have been given immunity from liability should their products cause illness or injury. [Supreme Court decision: Bruesewitz v. Wyeth (2011)] The manufacturers no longer warrant them as either safe or effective. Therefore, if vaccines are forced onto men or women - without their written consent - the enforcement 'officer' will be personally liable for any illness or injury the vaccine may cause.

Resources:

Supreme Court decision: Bruesewitz v. Wyeth (2011)

Bond vs. UNITED STATES - APPENDIX B; #8

5. Enforcement of corporate statutes, rules, regulations or Executive Orders by law enforcement officers - without full disclosure and written consent - are unlawful and these officers can be held personally liable for their actions. [Supreme Court: Bond v. UNITED STATES 529 US 334 (2000)]

Resources:

Bond vs. UNITED STATES - APPENDIX B; #8

Clearfield Doctrine - APPENDIX A

VOID FOR VAGUENESS DOCTRINE

" . . . a vague law is a violation of due process because the law does not provide fair warning of a prohibition and fails to set standards for enforcement that would govern the exercise of the police power."

Resource: http://dictionary.findlaw.com/definition/void-for-vagueness-doctrine.html

Name of corporation employee notified:

I am not a non-human legal "person".

I do not consent to your request.

I do not wish to contract with you.

Badge #: _____

Signature: first and last name

Date

Witness (if available): first and last name

Date

- Notice to Agent is Notice to Principal - Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent -

This notice of non-consent and no contract should be taken under advisement

Child Protective Services (CPS) Notice of Non-consent

More and more stories are coming in from parents across the country regarding the unlawful kidnapping of their children. Parents who wisely refuse to subject their children to the ever growing number of toxic and dangerous vaccines are not the only parents being victimized. Because their so-called 'agency' requires that they investigate all complaints - reasonable or not - employees for Children's Protective Services (CPS) can show up on anyone's door step. So, all parents need to be prepared to respond defensively.

If you are unaware of the magnitude of this problem, please go to the website of former CPS employee Carlos Morales: [Truthovercomfort.net](http://truthovercomfort.net). As a former CASA volunteer with several years of experience in my own county, I concur with Mr Morales's observations and conclusions about these dysfunctional and often dangerous so-called "child protection" agencies. You can also listen to a recent interview Mr. Morales did on Red Ice Radio: <http://www.redicecreations.com/radio/2014/11/RIR-141126.php>

All CPS employees are bound by the rules and regulations (codes) of the STATE in which they are employed. So, one of the first things we all need to understand is why the so-called presumed authority of the STATE OF is not valid - without our consent. They are all bound by the laws of contract (SEE APPENDIX D).

The STATE OF's vast network of employees and their courts have been told that our son and daughters are indeed their property as in 'under their jurisdiction'. To enforce their codes, they must provide proof of this claim, **if requested**. Without asking them for proof of their claim, it is presumed we accept it as fact. The only so called proof they have is the birth certificate⁷ signed by the child's Mother. However, the Mother was never told that by signing the birth certificate she was donating her baby to the STATE OF. Contracts with undisclosed terms and conditions are not lawful and cannot be enforced . . . without your consent. What do you think the likelihood is of them admitting in writing that your signature on your baby's birth certificate - that you were coerced into signing - meant that you were willingly with full knowledge and understanding donating/pledging your baby to the STATE? And, if you indeed did agree to care for the STATE's property, as you have been doing, where are your payments for the many hours and costs you have invested?

Another aspect of this unlawful kidnapping of children by CPS workers is their willingness to violate the letter of the STATE OF statutes/codes and make their own determinations as to their presumed 'authority'. As their corporation has a financial incentive to kidnap children, explained brilliantly by Mr. Morales, bending the rules (codes) is not unusual.

Should a CPS employee knock on your door, the first thing to understand is that they have no authorization to enter your home without your consent. **Do not allow them into your home**. Instead, hand them a generic Corporate-Government Employee Questionnaire (page 26) which asks them to identify themselves, to identify the number and effective date of the code they are attempting to enforce, and to verify that they personally have seen your signature on a signed contract agreeing to abide by their codes. Naturally they won't be able to provide this info on your doorstep, so they will have to leave. **DO NOT ANSWER ANY OF THEIR QUESTIONS** - just tell them you refuse to talk to them without them properly identifying themselves by filling out your questionnaire.

There is a good chance that they will not return as you have proven yourself waaay too knowledgeable. However, should they remain unconvinced that they cannot scare you into granting them authority; they might return or even send a supervisor to pay you another visit. They have also been known to send employees to the child's school for an unlawful interview with the child they are pretending to protect. So once they have left, print out a short letter and a lawful notice (samples on pages 4 and 5) and send them together via Certified Mail to the head of the CPS 'agency' the employee works for. The name of the agency should be on the top of the employee's business card. Following are samples! Items in grey are to be personalized.

⁷ "The Birth State claims the custody of your children pursuant to the Birth Certificate and records them under the Department of Transportation as a State owned Vessel"; from Section 2, page 13.

SAMPLE LETTER TO CPS

September 23, 2013

John Smith, Director
FRANKLIN COUNTY CHILDREN SERVICES
25 Elm Street
Canton, Ohio

Dear Mr. Smith,

On September 23, 2013 your employee, Rita Jones, came to my house and requested entry. In an effort to identify her and the lawful reason for her request, I handed her a questionnaire.

I hereby prohibit any employee of FRANKLIN COUNTY CHILDREN SERVICES from interviewing my child without my consent.

For the record I am notifying you that I do not wish to contract with your agency-corporation. My notice is attached. Please terminate your investigation and attach my lawful notice to the paperwork in your file.

Appreciatively,

Signature

Howard Black
25 Maple Canyon Drive
Canton, Ohio

Notice attached

SAMPLE IX
NOTICE TO FRANKLIN COUNTY CHILDREN SERVICES

As the Mother (Father) of Sally Doe (and other children if applicable), I declare the following:

As the living flesh and blood parent of my son(s) and/or daughter(s), I am responsible for his (her or their) nurturing and education. He (she or they) is (are) not the property of the corporation listed on Dun and Bradstreet as the STATE OF OHIO. Upon my son or daughter's birth, neither my spouse nor myself donated or pledged him (or her) to the STATE OF OHIO or any other corporate entity. Any presumption that we did is false.

As a for-profit corporation the STATE OF OHIO cannot make laws regarding the care and upbringing of flesh and blood boys and/or girls without their flesh and blood parent's consent. They can only make laws, rules, and regulations for non-human legal "PERSONS". This description does not apply to me (us) nor to our son(s) and/or daughter(s).

I do not recognize the entity known as FRANKLIN COUNTY CHILDREN SERVICES as a 'government' child protection institution. It is a for profit corporation doing business and listed on Dun and Bradstreet.

As a private corporation, FRANKLIN COUNTY CHILDREN SERVICES is bound by the law of contracts and has no authority to initiate any action regarding my son (or daughter) without a contract signed by me. I do not wish to contract with - FRANKLIN COUNTY CHILDREN SERVICES.

This is my notice of non-consent and my refusal to contract.

Sign your first and last name _____ and the date _____

First and last name of spouse (if applicable) _____ and the date _____

Witness _____ and the date _____

Witness _____ and the date _____

(Or Notary)

Notice to agent is notice to principal
Notice to principal is notice to agent

- single page notice -

LIST OF AVAILABLE TEMPLATES ON AntiCorruption Society.com website; tab LAWFULLY YOURS

Word doc templates:

Sample I	Government-Corporation employee questionnaire
Sample II	Letter of inquiry
Sample III	Letter of debt validation
Sample IV	VACCINATION NOTICE
Letter	Letter for school superintendent
Sample V (a)	EMPLOYEE/STUDENT VACCINATION NOTICE (a)
Sample V (b)	EMPLOYEE/STUDENT VACCINATION NOTICE (b)
Letter	Smart meter letter of non-consent
Sample VI	SMART METER NOTICE
Letter	Letter to CPS
Sample IX	NOTICE TO COUNTY CHILDREN SERVICES

Pocket Card Notices:

Sample VII	Pocket Card NOTICE of Non-consent
Sample VIII	Pocket Card NOTICE of Non-consent re: forced vaccinations or quarantine

References - Endnotes:

[i] See: AntiCorruptionSociety.com; SOURCE DOCUMENTS; "The BAR Card"

[ii] See *Who is Running America* - a free download from the homepage of AntiCorruption Society.com

[iii] Author of *The Great American Adventure* and *The Matrix and the US Constitution*. Both works are available as a free download from the home page of www.AntiCorruptionSociety.com

[iv] Author of *Fruit from a Poisonous Tree*; available on Amazon

[v] See: AntiCorruptionSociety.com - "Former World Bank Attorney Exposes the Bankers and the Bar"

[vi] See: <http://PeopleforSafeTechnologies.wikispaces.com/> - "Our Government is a Corporation"

APPENDIX A

Clearfield Doctrine

Supreme Court Annotated Statute, Clearfield Trust Co. v. United States 318 U.S. 363-371 1942

Whereas defined pursuant to Supreme Court Annotated Statute: Clearfield Trust Co. v. United States 318 U.S. 363-371 1942: "Governments descend to the level of a mere private corporation, and take on the characteristics of a mere private citizen . . . where private corporate commercial paper [Federal Reserve Notes] and securities [checks] is concerned . . . For purposes of suit, such corporations and individuals are regarded as entities entirely separate from government."

What the Clearfield Doctrine is saying is that when private commercial paper is used by corporate government, then government loses its sovereignty status and becomes no different than a mere private corporation.

As such, government then becomes bound by the rules and laws that govern private corporations which means that if they intend to compel an individual to some specific performance based upon its corporate statutes or corporation rules, then the government like any private corporation, must be the holder-in-due-course of a contract or other commercial agreement between it and the one upon who demands for specific performance are made.

And further, the government must be willing to enter the contract or commercial agreement into evidence before trying to get the court to enforce its demands, called statutes.

This case is very important because it was decided in 1942 - after the confiscation of the people's gold in 1933 and after the 'corporate government' forced the currency of the private corporation, the FEDERAL RESERVE on America. This privately owned currency, the FEDERAL RESERVE NOTE is still by law the only permissible currency in use today.

From the United States Code:

The government by becoming a corporator, (See 28 USC §3002(15(A)(B)(C), 22 USCA 286(e)) lays down its sovereignty and takes on that of a private citizen. It can exercise no power which is not derived from the corporate charter. (See: The Bank of the United States v. Planters Bank of Georgia, 5 L.Ed. (Wheat) 244; U.S. v. Butt, 309 U.S. 242).

APPENDIX B

Bond v. UNITED STATES

[First published online as "The Sovereign Citizen"]

by Judge Dale, retired
Thursday, 11 April 2013

Our federal government has instructed our federal, state and local police agencies that [everyone who purports to be a SOVEREIGN should be TREATED as a TERRORIST!](#) They have also brainwashed the American public into believing that being a SOVEREIGN is anti-American and unpatriotic! Perhaps this is "The POT calling the KETTLE black"?

WHAT IS SOVEREIGNTY? It is the inherent right and prerogative of a civilized people to rule itself, and to dictate all of the forms and conditions of the institutions it sets up to carry out this rule. Ironically, the U.S. SUPREME COURT agrees with those people who claim to be SOVEREIGN citizens of the American Republic!

- Bond vs. UNITED STATES, 529 US 334 – 2000, The Supreme Court held that the American People are in fact Sovereign and not the States or the Government. The court went on to define that local, state and federal law enforcement officers were committing unlawful actions against the Sovereign People by the enforcement of the laws and are personally liable for their actions.
- Bond v. United States, 529 US 334 – 2000 – Supreme Court – Cited by 761 litigants in other cases.
- Bond v. US, 131 S. Ct. 2355 – 2011 – Supreme Court – Cited by 306 “ “
- Bond v. US, 1 F. 3d 631 – 1993 – Court of Appeals, 7th – Cited by 66 “ “

What are the implications of this 2000, U. S. Supreme Court ruling?

1] The delegates to the first Federal Convention prohibited the use of corporations by all governments representing the American Republic. Therefore, all of these corporate governments and their corporate laws are a usurpation of the organic Constitution of the United States of America. All State Governments are now sub-corporations of the Federal Government, making all Courts and all law enforcement personnel, corporate federal agencies or employees. [See: James Madison Journal of the Federal Convention, Vol. 2, P. 722] and [Pull up your State Code on your PC and search the Code for the words "District of Columbia" and "Federal Government." You will receive about 1000 references linking your state to the federal government.]

2] The state and federal government is a corporation and therefore the Congress, State Legislatures, City Councils, Municipalities and all State and Federal Courts are corporate entities posing as Constitutional branches of government.

3] Corporations are privately owned businesses, meaning that the Corporate United States belongs to one or more private individuals, which is always governed by a Board of Directors. The Corporate United States is privately owned by a group of European Royal and Elite individuals tied to the Federal Reserve System and the letters of incorporation are recorded in the Vatican. The President of the United States is actually the CEO of the United States and the Congress and all others are corporate employees. Everything they do is in the interest of the corporate owners! I can't access those documents because of National Security.

4] In order to promulgate and enforce Criminal Laws to govern the SOVEREIGN public, government must be SOVEREIGN too, which is an accepted RULE of LAW derived from the, Ancient Law of Kings. Corporations are not and can never be SOVEREIGN. They are not real; they are a fiction and only exist on paper.

5] Therefore, all laws created by these government corporations are private corporate regulations called public law, statutes, codes and ordinances to conceal their true nature. Do the Judge and your lawyer know about this? You bet they do!

6] Since these government bodies are not SOVEREIGN, they cannot promulgate or enforce CRIMINAL LAWS; they can only create and enforce CIVIL LAWS, which are duty bound to comply with the LAW of CONTRACTS. The Law of Contracts requires signed written agreements and complete transparency! Did you ever agree to be arrested and tried under any of their corporate statutes? For that matter, did you ever agree to contract with them by agreeing to be sued for violating their corporate regulations?

[Citations and Complaints are contracts but they lack transparency because you were never told what might happen to you if you agree to contract, and that you had a right to refuse the accommodation!]

7] Do any of Americas Courts have Jurisdiction over a SOVEREIGN? Yes ... but only by your consent to be judged by the Court. Can they compel [Summon or Subpoena] you to appear or participate in their process? No ... they can't compel you and yes ... they can ask but you can reject the accommodation in writing and nothing can be done about it because you have refused to give the court jurisdiction over you!

8] Enforcement of these corporate statutes by local, state and federal law enforcement officers are unlawful actions being committed against the SOVEREIGN public and these officers can be held personally liable for their actions. [Bond v. U.S., 529 US 334-2000]

9] There being no Constitutional Criminal Laws or Transparency in the American Justice System, everyone arrested, convicted and sentenced to prison under these CIVIL LAWS are in prison by CONSENT and therein, all American Jails are actually DEBTORS PRISONS!

10] Most of the County and State Prisons and all of the Federal Prisons are privately owned corporate businesses for profit, which kick back to the sentencing Judges. The Bureau of Prisons Privatization Management Branch provides general oversight, for these institutions. So if you are convicted in these Courts, you can expect to serve some jail time! Now you know why America has such high prison populations!

11] Can the State Government and Courts take Custody of your children? Only with your consent, otherwise their agents and officers can be held personally liable for their actions! Orphans are a different matter and can become wards of the Court until emancipated.

Corporate governments are a usurpation of the organic American Constitution and this corporatist onslaught in America has since its creation, been an ANTI-SOVEREIGN and TERRORIST REGIME and are in fact the real TERRORIST and TRAITORS to the American Republic.

Blessings,

Judge Dale, retired

NOTES

On April 16, 2013 in an article titled [The Missing 13th Amendment](#) (posted on [AntiCorruptionSociety.com](#)), Judge Dale explained why it is difficult to validate the Bond v. UNITED STATES decision:

The Supreme Court admitted this in the year 2000, in their decision of [Bond v. United States, 529 US 334, 2000] and our government controlled media swept it neatly under the carpet! In an attempt to avoid repercussions, the government created a false case and decision titled [US v. Bond] before the federal appeals court reversing the US Supreme Court. Some of you would never realize that there is NO body of law that can reverse the US Supreme Court; it's the highest court in America even under their corporate regulations but then creating "illusions" and lying to the American Republic is second nature to them!

From attorney Melvin Stamper's book *Fruit from a Poisonous Tree* (page 42):

The creation of the enumerated powers of the United States Constitution was done by delegation of authority. The power of the sovereign people remained with the people. The federal government may exercise its enumerated power only on their behalf. This relationship was well-stated by the Supreme Court as follows:

"Sovereignty itself is, of course, not subject to law, for it is the author and source of law; but in our system, while sovereign powers are delegated to the agencies of government, sovereignty itself remains with the people, by whom and for whom all government exists and acts." (Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 118 US 353)

Reference to the United States Code:

The government by becoming a corporator, (See 28 USC §3002(15(A)(B)(C), 22 USCA 286(e)) lays down its sovereignty and takes on that of a private citizen. It can exercise no power which is not derived from the corporate charter. (See: *The Bank of the United States v. Planters Bank of Georgia*, 5 L.Ed. (Wheat) 244; *U.S. v. Butt*, 309 U.S. 242).

APPENDIX C

THE TWELVE PRESUMPTIONS OF COURT

The following was provided by Cannon Law researcher Frank O'Collins. Website: One-heaven.org (<http://one-heaven.org/home.php>)

Canon 3228

A Roman Court does not operate according to any true rule of law, but by presumptions of the law. Therefore, if presumptions presented by the private Bar Guild are not rebutted they become fact and are therefore said to stand true. There are twelve (12) key presumptions asserted by the private Bar Guilds which if unchallenged stand true being Public Record, Public Service, Public Oath, Immunity, Summons, Custody, Court of Guardians, Court of Trustees, Government as Executor/Beneficiary, Executor De Son Tort, Incompetence, and Guilt:

- i. **The Presumption of Public Record** is that any matter brought before a lower Roman Courts is a matter for the public record when in fact it is presumed by the members of the private Bar Guild that the matter is a private Bar Guild business matter. Unless openly rebuked and rejected by stating clearly the matter is to be on the Public Record, the matter remains a private Bar Guild matter completely under private Bar Guild rules; and
- ii. **The Presumption of Public Service** is that all the members of the Private Bar Guild who have all sworn a solemn secret absolute oath to their Guild then act as public agents of the Government, or "public officials" by making additional oaths of public office that openly and deliberately contradict their private "superior" oaths to their own Guild. Unless openly rebuked and rejected, the claim stands that these private Bar Guild members are legitimate public servants and therefore trustees under public oath; and
- iii. **The Presumption of Public Oath** is that all members of the Private Bar Guild acting in the capacity of "public officials" who have sworn a solemn public oath remain bound by that oath and therefore bound to serve honestly, impartiality and fairly as dictated by their oath. Unless openly challenged and demanded, the presumption stands that the Private Bar Guild members have functioned under their public oath in contradiction to their Guild oath. If challenged, such individuals must recuse themselves as having a conflict of interest and cannot possibly stand under a public oath; and
- iv. **The Presumption of Immunity** is that key members of the Private Bar Guild in the capacity of "public officials" acting as judges, prosecutors and magistrates who have sworn a solemn public oath in good faith are immune from personal claims of injury and liability. Unless openly challenged and their oath demanded, the presumption stands that the members of the Private Bar Guild as public trustees acting as judges, prosecutors and magistrates are immune from any personal accountability for their actions; and
- v. **The Presumption of Summons** is that by custom a summons unrebutted stands and therefore one who attends Court is presumed to accept a position (defendant, juror, witness) and jurisdiction of the court. Attendance to court is usually invitation by summons. Unless the summons is rejected and returned, with a copy of the rejection filed prior to choosing to visit or attend, jurisdiction and position as the accused and the existence of "guilt" stands; and
- vi. **The Presumption of Custody** is that by custom a summons or warrant for arrest unrebutted stands and therefore one who attends Court is presumed to be a thing and therefore liable to be detained in custody by "Custodians". Custodians may only lawfully hold custody of property and "things" not flesh and blood soul possessing beings. Unless this presumption is openly challenged by rejection of summons and/or at court, the presumption stands you are a thing and property and therefore lawfully able to be kept in custody by custodians; and

- vii. **The Presumption of Court of Guardians** is the presumption that as you may be listed as a "resident" of a ward of a local government area and have listed on your "passport" the letter P, you are a pauper and therefore under the "Guardian" powers of the government and its agents as a "Court of Guardians". Unless this presumption is openly challenged to demonstrate you are both a general guardian and general executor of the matter (trust) before the court, the presumption stands and you are by default a pauper, and lunatic and therefore must obey the rules of the clerk of guardians (clerk of magistrates court);
- viii. **The Presumption of Court of Trustees** is that members of the Private Bar Guild presume you accept the office of trustee as a "public servant" and "government employee" just by attending a Roman Court, as such Courts are always for public trustees by the rules of the Guild and the Roman System. Unless this presumption is openly challenged to state you are merely visiting by "invitation" to clear up the matter and you are not a government employee or public trustee in this instance, the presumption stands and is assumed as one of the most significant reasons to claim jurisdiction - simply because you "appeared"; and
- ix. **The Presumption of Government acting in two roles as Executor and Beneficiary** is that for the matter at hand, the Private Bar Guild appoint the judge/magistrate in the capacity of Executor while the Prosecutor acts in the capacity of Beneficiary of the trust for the current matter. Unless this presumption is openly challenged to demonstrate you are both a general guardian and general executor of the matter (trust) before the court, the presumption stands and you are by default the trustee, therefore must obey the rules of the executor (judge/magistrate); and
- x. **The Presumption of Executor De Son Tort** is the presumption that if the accused does seek to assert their right as Executor and Beneficiary over their body, mind and soul they are acting as an Executor De Son Tort or a "false executor" challenging the "rightful" judge as Executor. Therefore, the judge/magistrate assumes the role of "true" executor and has the right to have you arrested, detained, fined or forced into a psychiatric evaluation. Unless this presumption is openly challenged by not only asserting one's position as Executor as well as questioning if the judge or magistrate is seeking to act as Executor De Son Tort, the presumption stands and a judge or magistrate of the private Bar guild may seek to assistance of bailiffs or sheriffs to assert their false claim; and
- xi. **The Presumption of Incompetence** is the presumption that you are at least ignorant of the law, therefore incompetent to present yourself and argue properly. Therefore, the judge/magistrate as executor has the right to have you arrested, detained, fined or forced into a psychiatric evaluation. Unless this presumption is openly challenged to the fact that you know your position as executor and beneficiary and actively rebuke and object to any contrary presumptions, then it stands by the time of pleading that you are incompetent then the judge or magistrate can do what they need to keep you obedient; and
- xii. **The Presumption of Guilt** is the presumption that as it is presumed to be a private business meeting of the Bar Guild, you are guilty whether you plead "guilty", do not plead or plead "not guilty". Therefore unless you either have previously prepared an affidavit of truth and motion to dismiss with extreme prejudice onto the public record or call a demurrer, then the presumption is you are guilty and the private Bar Guild can hold you until a bond is prepared to guarantee the amount the guild wants to profit from you.

APPENDIX D

WHAT IS A CONTRACT

From *Fruit from a Poisonous Tree* by attorney Melvin Stamper, JD⁸

"Contract law is above the Constitution and under the jurisdiction of Equity/Admiralty courts, so the governments began to contract with everyone." (pg 74)

From *The Great American Adventure* by Judge Dale, retired⁹

"Everything in America is about CONTRACTS and it is our burden as Americans to make government perform honorably, to be specific and to prohibit them from changing the meaning of common words, which is referred to in their circle of friends as: 'legalese!'" (2nd Edition - pg 11)

You cannot depend upon the courts to protect what *you think* are your rights, as long as you have signed contracts that *supersede* those "rights." So unless you understand the basics of contracts, you won't know how to protect your inalienable rights. While the BAR has made contract law very convoluted and complex, the basics remain inviolable.

CONTRACTS

Definition of "contract" (*noun*) - An enforceable agreement. It depends for its validity on six conditions:

1. **Mutual assent - offer and acceptance**
2. **Legal consideration**
3. **Legal capacity for contracting**
4. **Absence of fraud or duress**
5. **Not in violation of law (in theory can't lawfully contract to murder, steal, damage property, trespass, sell into slavery)**
6. **Must be realistic and attainable**

1 – Mutual Assent

- a) Requires a meeting of the minds
- b) Obligation of good faith (U.C.C. 1-203)
Every contract or duty within this Act imposes an obligation of good faith in its performance or enforcement.
- c) Requires full disclosure
There can be no *meeting of the minds* unless the matter has been discussed; therefore, *full disclosure* of all terms and conditions is an absolute requirement for this condition. This is why contracts are called "*agreements*" Parties cannot *agree to agree* on terms that are not disclosed nor discussed. This would be a form of collusion and would violate the obligation of good faith.
- d) Requires a voluntary signature
Your signature on a contract signifies your assent (agreement) to the terms and conditions. Courts have maintained that "Any sane person *understands* and *concurs with* the content of any instrument bearing his voluntary signature."

⁸ *Fruit From a Poisonous Tree* by Melvin Stamper, JD is available at Amazon.com and Barnes and Noble

⁹ *The Great American Adventure* is available as a free download on the AntiCorruption Society.com web site

- e) Cannot bind non-signatories
Signatories on a contract cannot bind non-signatories to the terms and conditions of a contract unless they hold a signed agreement to do so - often referred to as the 'power of attorney'.
- f) Cannot be unilaterally altered
Once a contract is signed, any material adverse unilateral alteration of the contract may result in cancellation of the contract as the mutual assent criteria would no longer exist.

2 – Legal Consideration

Lawful contracts need to contain some form of monetary exchange or assurance. An agreement is legally enforceable only when each of the parties gives something and gets something. That something given or obtained is the price for the promise. It should be noted however that 'consideration' may be an act (doing something) or forbearance (not doing something) or a promise to do or not to do something. It may be past, present or future.

3 – Legal Capacity

Signatories must meet legal qualification and be at least 18 years of age, considered sane, and not under the undue influence of drugs or alcohol.

4 – Absence of fraud or duress

Contracts are not enforceable if they were signed using coercion, misrepresentation or fraud, as any of these would negate the mutual assent required to create an enforceable agreement in the first place.

Any claim of 'implied consent' to terms that were not disclosed can be viewed as misrepresentation.

However, adhesion contracts are commonplace in our world today.

Adhesion contract is a standardized contract/form offered in exchange for goods and/or services on essentially a "take it or leave it" basis. A distinctive feature of adhesion contract is that weaker party has no realistic choice as to its terms.

Wheeler v. St. Joseph Hospital, Cal.App., 63 Cal.App.3d 345 Standard Oil of Calif. B. Perkins, C.A.Or., 347 F.2d 379, 383.

Lechmere Tire and Sales Co. v. Burwick, 360 Mass. 713, 720, 721 277 N.E.2d 503 (emphasis added)

Unconscionable adhesion contract is a contract the terms of which are excessively unreasonable, overreaching and one-sided. One which no sensible man not under delusion, duress, or in distress would make, and such as no honest and fair man would accept. Franklin Fire Ins. Co. v Noll, 115 Ind. App. 289, 58 N.E.2d 947,949,950

Unconscionable adhesion contracts are not enforceable as most represent coercion or undue influence. If being coerced into signing an adhesion contract it is wise to write "under duress" or "all rights preserved" above your name. Another strategy is to preface your signature with an ellipsis (three dots signifying the absence of words or conditions)

5 – Does not violate the Common Law

Can't contract to murder, steal, damage property, trespass, sell into slavery

6 – Must Be Realistic or Attainable

Legal Maxim: *Impossibillium nulla obligatio est*. In other words, there is no obligation to do impossible things.

Vacating the Contract

If these six conditions are not met there are legal grounds for vacating or rescinding the contract.

For example, if the mutual assent criterion was not met, the contract is not an enforceable agreement. From Judge Dale, retired:

"The corporate government's subversive tactics perverts "mutuality" [#1 - mutual assent] meaning that all registrants must understand the true nature and intent of the contract and subsequently must knowingly accept or consent to the terms and conditions of the contract. The absence of "mutuality" lawfully eliminates any and all contractual relationships, as historically established by the International Law of Contracts a/k/a Uniform Commercial Code."¹⁰

Another lawful reason for vacating a contract is if you become aware that you have made a mistake. Generally the mistake has to have been made as a result of misrepresentation of the facts. Misrepresentation is a false statement about a material fact relied on by a party to the contract. In the case of a misrepresentation, the injured party may rescind the contract.

The rescission of a contract must be done in writing and signed via a certified signature - (notarized or witnessed) - and presented by certified mail to the contracting party noting "Notice to agent is notice to principal - Notice to principal is notice to agent"

NOTE

Attorney Melvin Stamper explained who subverted our legal system and when it was done in his book *Fruit from a Poisonous Tree*:

"The scheme also provided for the control of the courts via the 1913 creation of the American Bar Association, whose parent organization was the European International Bar Association, which was the creation of Rothschild. This allowed the International Bankers to control the practice of law, in that the only ones permitted to practice before the courts were those who were educated under their brand of law, which was only Admiralty and Contract law." (pg 58)

It is important to note that the Rothschild's were behind the creation of the Federal Reserve Act and its passage in 1913 which unlawfully turned control of the country's monetary system and currency over to the same International Bankers.

¹⁰ From Judge Dale's *The Great American Adventure* (pg 98) available at AntiCorruptionSociety.com

Checklist for evaluating the validity of a contract

1. Mutual Assent

Meeting of the minds

Obligation of good faith

Full disclosure

Voluntary signature

Cannot bind non-signatories

Cannot be unilaterally altered

2. Legal consideration

3. Legal capacity

4. Absence of misrepresentation, fraud or duress

5. Cannot violate common law

6. Is realistic or attainable

APPENDIX E

PROPERTIES OF AN AFFIDAVIT

The fourth Commercial Maxim states a fundamental aspect of commercial law: "Truth is expressed by means of an affidavit." Since each individual experiences whatever he does from his own particular perspective in time and space and through his unique nature and machinery of consciousness, all truth is subjective.¹¹ Truth, like beauty, is in the eye of the beholder.

Inasmuch as everyone has free will and is the irreducible unit of experience, choice, responsibility, and self-government, only each particular man or woman can speak his/her own truth and has the right and obligation to do so. No one is obligated, nor qualified, to express the truth of another, as per the famous line in Tennyson's book, *The Courtship of Miles Standish*: "Why don't you speak for yourself, John."

Dispute resolution ("law") requires a universally accepted means for someone to assert his subjective truth in a manner that all understand is intended to be uttered without equivocation, concealment, deception, or insincerity. An affidavit, especially an affidavit "sworn true, correct, and complete," has evolved over time to be the accepted process by which someone expresses his truth in the most solemn, absolute, ceremonial means possible, past which nothing exists. An affidavit, as a solemn and sworn statement of truth, automatically renders the affiant the subject of charges of perjury if any portion of his affidavit is false.

Black's Law Dictionary, Fifth Edition, defines affidavit and oath as follows:

"Affidavit. A written or printed declaration or statement of facts, made voluntarily, and confirmed by the oath or affirmation of the party making it, taken before a person having authority to administer such oath or affirmation." pp. 28-29.

"Oath. Any form of attestation by which a person signifies that he is bound in conscience to perform an act faithfully and truly.... An affirmation of truth of a statement, which renders one willfully asserting untrue statements punishable for perjury. An outward pledge by the person taking it that his attestation or promise is made under an immediate sense of responsibility to God. A solemn appeal to the Supreme Being in attestation of the truth of some statement. An external pledge or asseveration, made in verification of statements made, or to be made, coupled with an appeal to a sacred or venerated object, in evidence of the serious and reverent state of mind of the party, or with an invocation to a supreme being to witness the words of the party, and to visit him with punishment if they be false...." p. 555.

In order to be characterized as an affidavit, a document must contain the characteristics and properties itemized below. To wit, an affidavit:

1. States facts ("truth") only on the basis of firsthand, personal knowledge, not conjecture, theory, or hearsay. The facts stated must express direct knowledge of the affiant (not "information and belief," which is hearsay).
2. Cannot be argumentative.
3. Must not draw conclusions of law.
4. Can be executed and served at any time without notice to the adverse party. Because an affidavit is not subject to cross-examination, it is an *ex parte*¹² proceeding.

¹¹ If someone expresses his subjective truth and others verify the same truth in their own subjective terms, said "truth" is labeled as "objective fact," i.e. the abstract map is acknowledged by others as accurately representing the territory.

¹² *Ex parte*: One side only; by or for one party; done for, in behalf of, or on the application of, one party only. Black's Law Dictionary, First Edition.

5. Must be certified (witnessed) by an officer of the state authorized to administer oaths, usually a notary public.¹³ If it is not so sworn it will not be considered as being an affidavit.
6. Constitutes one of three kinds of testimony, the other two being deposition and direct oral examination, and stands as uncontroverted evidence if not timely rebutted point-for-point by proper counteraffidavit executed by the adverse party.
7. Must be executed by being sworn true, correct, and complete, i.e. under oath, defining the degree and nature of the commercial liability being staked by the affiant for the veracity, accuracy, relevance, and verifiability of everything stated in the affidavit.
8. Can be invalidated or nullified only by being rebutted point-for-point by counteraffidavit sworn true, correct, and complete.
9. Stands as the truth concerning each point that is not rebutted by counteraffidavit as above; the entire affidavit stands as the truth in the matter if not answered at all.
10. Stands in full as the judgment (application) of the law if completely unrebutted by counteraffidavit as above; invokes execution of the law concerning the points in the affidavit that are not expressly rebutted in a counteraffidavit.

Without a “competent witness,” i.e. testimony, no court has any power to act. Judgments may be made solely on evidence, but all evidence requires that a competent witness attest its validity, i.e. verify the evidence submitted. Without a competent witness, a judgment is void.

In court, the adverse party has the right to cross-examine. When testimony is issued via affidavit, the adverse party has the right (and obligation, if he/she desires not to have the affiant’s affidavit stand as the truth and judgment of the law) to respond to the affidavit point-for-point via counteraffidavit sworn true, correct, and complete.

Regardless of the form in which testimony is introduced into proceedings and disputes, once a “competent witness” has submitted testimony (by any means, including affidavit), the adverse party must:

1. Disprove stated facts or prove alternative facts;
2. Prove application of law re stated facts or alternative facts.

In the event that the adverse party fails to comply with the above two (2) essentials, the “testimony” of the “competent witness” is established as uncontroverted¹⁴ evidence.

For the most part (almost always), attorneys (including government attorneys), are not “competent witnesses” because (1) they do not have firsthand knowledge of facts, and (2) they do not submit whatever they have to say under oath, i.e. “the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth” (e.g. via affidavit sworn true, correct, and complete). Attorneys act under authority of the “system,” not under their own unlimited liability, and only relate second-hand information, i.e. what is related to them by others. Legally, therefore, what an attorney states is hearsay. It is not the result of direct experience and cannot be attested on the basis of direct, personal knowledge.

As well as meeting criteria stated above, an affidavit should, ideally:


¹³ Certification of an affidavit, i.e. “third-party witness,” has been universally necessary from inception, probably for thousands of years. The process began with someone who personally knew the affiant signing, and certified that the name was truly that of the affiant and not an imposter. Such measure was taken to prevent fraud and forgery in the event that someone other than the one whose name was being signed was actually signing the affidavit.

¹⁴ Uncontroverted: Not denied; not contradicted.

1. Have all paragraphs numbered, for the purpose of, *inter alia*,¹⁵ identifying particular points/passages for future reference should rebuttal be attempted.
2. Contain as many points as possible—without violating any of the above-stated criteria—of the seven points of a seven-point instrument (see seven-point instruments in Glossary); the more points, the more formidable.
3. Have a unique form number at the bottom, different from that of any other affidavit, for unambiguous future reference and enhanced admissibility as evidence.
4. Be a plain statement of facts, written in clean, clear, matter-of-fact, minimalist style: “Just the facts, ma’am.”
5. Be written in the present tense.
6. Avoid use of pronouns and the words, “to” (infinitive form is least-ambiguous use) and “or,” which are ambiguous. The less ambiguity, the less need for a third party, such as a judge, to intervene in the matter to “interpret” the text.
7. Contain as few adjectives and adverbs as possible, since such color matters and try to tell people what to think. Often the more nakedly words and terms are expressed, the more definitive and ironclad they are.
8. Be signed in red ink, signifying blood. Signing in red ink acts as a signal that you, as the affiant, are stating your truth in the capacity of a sentient, living being with unlimited liability, and not a corporately colored, artificial entity (TRADE NAME) operating in limited liability.
9. Have as much documentation, i.e. exhibits, attachments, and documentary evidence, supporting the assertions made in the affidavit, as possible. Obviously, the more incontrovertible the substantiation, the better.

¹⁵ *Inter alia*: Lat.: among other things... Barron’s Law Dictionary, Third Edition.

Also recommended:



Available as a downloadable pdf on [AntiCorruption Society.com](http://AntiCorruptionSociety.com) web site



Available as a downloadable pdf on the [AntiCorruption Society.com](http://AntiCorruptionSociety.com) web site
and as a spiral bound hard copy on the StopTheCrime.net web site

Who is Running America?

**The Bankruptcy of America,
the Corporate United States
and the New World Order**



**or How the Uniform Commercial Code
replaced the United States Constitution**

Available as a downloadable pdf on [AntiCorruption Society.com](http://AntiCorruptionSociety.com) web site



**This guide is available as a free download at AntiCorruptionSociety.com
Tab: LAWFULLY YOURS**

**Spiral bound copies of LAWFULLY YOURS are available for purchase at VacLib.org
Tabs: Books Videos Tapes - Books**